



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

---

## **SBT NOVCH 2505-03340 - Notice of Complaint to the Human Rights Tribunal**

---

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Wed, Jun 4, 2025 at 1:08 PM

To: "@MAG-G-COR-SBT-Registrar (MAG)" <SBT.Registrar@ontario.ca>

Cc: "Baker, Geoffrey (MCCSS)" <Geoffrey.Baker@ontario.ca>, "Ryan, Padraic (MAG)" <Padraic.Ryan@ontario.ca>, "Forget, Caroline" <caroline.forget@ottawa.ca>

Dear Madelaine Myubi,

Please Keep in Mind SJTO's Common Rule 7 on courtesy and respect when responding to emails sent by an Appellant or Respondent before the SBT. Courtesy and respect works both Ways. Sending anonymous emails with incorrect information while silently observing and bearing witness to the Administrator's contempt for the Rule of Law and the very same common courtesy You are accusing Me of breaching? You take 'gaslighting' to a whole new level! You have not addressed a single question except the name of the Registrar who was LYING to Me!

Janet Cerveney is hereby on Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability for obstruction of Justice, contempt, and collusion and conspiracy to obstruct Justice in her personal, private capacity.

Now, if every One in receipt of this email Wishes to Honour Principles of Justice and 'common courtesy', then I Will not require a Form 8 Summons because the Honourable Administrator Will simply respond to My email and confirm they Will bring with them the documents I have requested for the pre-hearing conference.

That's precisely WHY I am sending these emails to the SBT and the Respondent, so that the SBT can bear witness to the fact that it is necessary to Appeal to the Tribunal simply because the Administrator Will not even respond to emails unless they are compelled by a judicial authority to do so. They are belligerent, contemptuous criminals - and I have every right to say that because that's what Canada's Criminal Code has to say about it.

For most People, this is all common sense. But I believe You are all trying to convince Me that the Administrator can engage in infuriating, contemptuous conduct with intent to antagonize because either I am not entitled to protection from criminals according to Canada's Criminal Code, or because Canada's Criminal Code doesn't apply to the public Trustee economically exploiting Beneficiaries in violation of their Trust.

Never in My Life have I witnessed a Tribunal witness as much corruption and criminal conduct as the Tribunals of Ontario. In BC I had claims before the LTB and the Labour board Tribunals for rights violations pretty consistently. Not ONCE did any of those administrators, Registrars, or representative of the Tribunal allow the conduct to continue until the date of the hearing. They would IMMEDIATELY intervene and advise

the employer or the landlord that they were in the wrong and should probably cease and desist or negotiate an agreement. Not once did I ever lose a claim because those Tribunals were operating in a legitimate, authentic capacity. This Tribunal is not.

Once again, I ask the Administrator's representatives if they Will produce the documents I am as King for and concede they are breaching those contracts, or Will I be compelled to have the Tribunal Summons those documents for the pre-hearing conference?

I Will not participate in any pre-hearing conference if the Tribunal Will allow the Administrator to ignore this question until the date of the pre-hearing conference.

I assert that the Administrator does not produce these documents because they know they are in the wrong and Wish to withhold the evidence of their crimes so that they can continue to breach those contracts aggravating the harm done to the Beneficiary. The Tribunal by Way of association is liable for allowing the harm to be aggravated with such impunity.

Thank You so much, is that appropriate enough language for You? Can the Administrator respond now, please?

King Sean, House von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]