



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Notice of Revocation of Consent to Private Communications and Exchange of Information for Beneficiary Sean von Dehn

4 messages

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 18, 2025 at 11:24 AM

To: "Cooke, Jason" <jason.cooke@ottawa.ca>, "Regimbald, Mathieu" <mathieu.regimbald@ottawa.ca>, "Mukalay, Lili" <Lili.Mukalay@ottawa.ca>, "Langlais, Geneviève" <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>, info@multifaithhousing.ca, "Kritsch, Kelly" <kelly.kritsch@ottawa.ca>, "Abou-Arraj, Sana" <sana.abou-arraj@ottawa.ca>, celia.north@ottawa.ca, "Amaro, Christine" <christine.amaro@ottawa.ca>

Good morning every One,

Consent to privately communicate between government agencies concerning the Beneficiary 'Sean von Dehn's personal affairs *for any reason* is hereby fully revoked without My oversight. This means that anything You Wish to know or verify to ensure the Beneficiary 'Sean von Dehn' receives every Benefit he is Entitled to receive, Will include this email address in Your communications.

There Will be absolutely no private discussions between Home for Good, MHI, Ontario Works, or any other government agency without the oversight of the Beneficiary's Trustee (Me).

Consent to communicate between government agencies was provided under duress and threat of economic hardship to the Beneficiary for failing to comply. The consent was Given to You in Good Faith. Just as a copy of the Trust Instrument and Trust Declaration were Given to You in Good Faith. Just as a Letter of Attorney and Mandamus for Health Care was Given to You in Good Faith. Those documents were received by the respective case worker to be added to the Beneficiary's case file. If those documents are not on the Beneficiary's case file, You are in serious trouble.

Now Mathieu tells Me he doesn't have any of those documents on the Beneficiary's case file? What happened to them?

I Wish to see every application for subsidy made on the Beneficiary's behalf to verify the amounts. MHI have claimed to be paying \$60.00 in utilities for the Beneficiary *in fraud* for almost as long as he's been paying utilities. Ontario Works and Home for Good have been gaslighting and *lying* to the Beneficiary, telling him that he's not able to claim utilities as part of the overall housing cost as a new expense, insisting utilities must be paid automatically from the basic needs portion of his Ontario Works, adversely impacting his ability to provide for his basic needs by Way of undue economic hardship.

According to the Notices of Entitlement provided by Jason Cooke from Home for Good, the City of Ottawa has been giving the money the Beneficiary is Entitled to receive for utilities to his landlord, MHI instead! How nice of You! And You can't say You didn't

know You were doing this because You've used the consent provided to find out how much the Beneficiary was paying from his basic needs so You could give that money to the landlord instead. You're expecting Me to believe this wasn't done intentionally?

The Beneficiary doesn't ever fill out renewal forms because they have never been sent to him. Kelly Kritsch is not only a compulsive liar, but she colludes with Canada Post to intercept mail and knows very well that the Beneficiary hasn't received any renewal packages because the only one that was ever sent to him was returned to the City of Ottawa by Canada Post without any attempt to deliver. The Signed receipt shows it was received by City of Ottawa employee 'D. Cote'.

Despite clearly *knowing* the Beneficiary did not receive the package that was returned to them, no One from Home for Good made any attempt to resend the package or reach out to the Beneficiary - not even by Way of email. The subsidy was allegedly revoked without any Notice to the Beneficiary whatsoever. It was the third time this had happened in four years. A check from Ottawa Hydro for over \$350.00 worth of credit owed to the Beneficiary for overpayments was confirmed to have been mailed out on the 18th of July. Strangely, it never arrived and I know the City of Ottawa is no stranger to intercepting the Beneficiary's mail and colluding with Canada Post. Obviously, I can't prove anything but I'm highly suspect based on previous experiences.

Lili Mukalay simultaneously tells Me that they are not going to pay the \$60.00 in utilities until the Beneficiary's credit with Ottawa Hydro expires and reduces the amount the province is going to pay toward shelter costs from \$390.00 to \$354.00, then \$302.00? Why would she not be providing what You are claiming in the form to the Housing Authority? Please remember, You are making these applications in some One else's name. If You Wish to engage in fraud, do it in Your own name!

You have conceded to Me in Writing that the three of You (MHI, HFG and OW) have been communicating personal details pertaining to the Beneficiary so You could help the landlord steal money from him and cause him undue economic hardship by taking it from the basic needs portion of his Benefits, depriving him of actual 'basic needs'. You took advantage of Your position with malicious intent to cause economic harm to the Beneficiary.

As it stands right now, there is no question about whether or not the landlord received overpayments unless the four years worth of Entitlement Notices You provided to Me are fraudulent and or were fabricated. I can't help but wonder if that's why it took more than two weeks to present them and why none of them include the supporting documents that were allegedly attached (which is also fraud by Way of omission).

You're expecting Me to believe that when Home for Good makes applications they don't need to support their applications with any proof or evidence, they Will just take Home for Good employees on their Word? I don't believe that. I believe they require supporting documents, even if it is only to satisfy requirements for Revenue Canada tax purposes.

The landlord has effectively stolen \$2280.00 and counting, inclusive of July and August and Will be liable to the Beneficiary for an additional \$60.00 as of the first of next month. With ten percent interest I believe that works out to \$2508.00 due any payable now with ten percent interest compounding monthly.

Lili Mukalay and Mathieu Regimbald can tell Me in front of every One else where they got the idea that rent is \$1104.00 now and increasing to \$1132.00 in November? Can any One explain to Me how Lili came to have that belief as You seem to be tall King about a lot of things You've been Keeping Secret from Me.

I look forward to hearing from You, and knowing when those funds Will be returned to the Beneficiary.

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean



Jason Cooke Reply to Notice of FRAUD - MHI_HFG 'Entitlement Form'.pdf

666K

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 18, 2025 at 11:47 AM

To: "Cooke, Jason" <jason.cooke@ottawa.ca>, "Regimbald, Mathieu" <mathieu.regimbald@ottawa.ca>, "Mukalay, Lili" <Lili.Mukalay@ottawa.ca>, "Langlais, Geneviève" <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>, info@multifaithhousing.ca, "Kritsch, Kelly" <kelly.kritsch@ottawa.ca>, "Abou-Arraj, Sana" <sana.abou-arraj@ottawa.ca>, celia.north@ottawa.ca, "Amaro, Christine" <christine.amaro@ottawa.ca>

Please take Notice:

In the event that any of You are confused about the definition of 'fraud', please see Canada's Criminal Code and consider its application here.

Fraud

380 (1) Every one who, by deceit, falsehood or other fraudulent means, whether or not it is a false pretence within the meaning of this Act, *defrauds the public or any person*, whether ascertained or not, of any property, ***money or valuable security or any service***,

(a) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding fourteen years, where the subject-matter of the offence is a testamentary instrument or the value of the subject-matter of the offence exceeds five thousand dollars; or

(b) is guilty

(i) of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, or

(ii) of an offence punishable on summary conviction,

where the value of the subject-matter of the offence does not exceed five thousand dollars.

Did I not warn that breach of Trust is subject to fourteen years in jail according to Canada's Criminal Code? Are State Actors above the Law, Jason, or how do You plan to reprimand the State Actors involved in this scandal?

I look forward to hearing from You,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 18, 2025 at 12:40 PM

To: "Cooke, Jason" <jason.cooke@ottawa.ca>, "Regimbald, Mathieu" <mathieu.regimbald@ottawa.ca>, "Mukalay, Lili" <Lili.Mukalay@ottawa.ca>, "Langlais, Geneviève" <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>, info@multifaithhousing.ca, "Kritsch, Kelly" <kelly.kritsch@ottawa.ca>, "Abou-Arraj, Sana" <sana.abou-arraj@ottawa.ca>, celia.north@ottawa.ca, "Amaro, Christine" <christine.amaro@ottawa.ca>

Notice of Criminal and Civil Liability: Kelly Kritsch, personal, private capacity.

Dear Jason Cooke and Genevieve Langlais,

I've included the City of Ottawa's lawyer because I presume she comprehends and understands specific, explicit language such as that which was used in My Notice of Revocation of **Private** Communications between parties necessary to ensure he is Entitled to receive all Benefits available to him.

Nowhere does it say that I am revoking consent for You all to communicate as necessary to ensure You are Acting in the Beneficiary's best interest - the only thing that changes, is You Will not do so PRIVATELY!!!

No One Will respond to this thread unless they respond to every One!!! That is the point! You've been using the consent to Your advantage at the Beneficiary's expense and Kelly Kritsch's email is further evidence of GASLIGHTING and IGNORING My requests.

You are NOT going to threaten the Beneficiary with further economic harm and pretend You don't know what I am DEMANDING You do. You have exactly the same consent, only difference is You don't communicate without My oversight. Unless You are engaged in activities that are harmful to the Beneficiary, no One should have any Issue with this *conditional* consent. That's precisely WHY You were all sent a copy of this email because You are no longer going to plead ignorance of what the other departments and organizations within the City of Ottawa are doing because You all represent the same entity.

The attached letter is contempt. So let Me number My questions for You and please number Your replies to them.

1. You Will send Me a copy of all Your communications in future so that I know You are not using the consent provided to You to engage in more fraud at the Beneficiary's expense. Understood, yes or no?
2. Under no circumstance Will Kelly Kritsch respond to an email without addressing her previous transgressions against the Beneficiary and sharing those responses with every One included in this email.
3. For Kelly Kritsch specifically: Why would I trust You to communicate with other government departments when You have routinely lied about renewal packages sent (or not sent as the case may be), three times revoked subsidy without notice to the Beneficiary despite having his consent on file, and used information obtained by Way of his consent to discover how much he was paying in utilities from his basic needs so You could give that money to his landlord? Please tell Me why I would ever trust You or any One who works with You ever again?
4. Why is Kelly Kritsch responding without letting Me know when the stolen money Will be repaid to the Beneficiary? Is the intent to antagonize? If it is she's doing a Good job.
5. Any reason why every One involved should not be charged under Canada's Criminal Code? Please explain Your reasoning.
6. When I Wish for full disclosure, Kelly Wishes to redirect Me. I Wish for full disclosure on every fact You are using the consent provided to verify - it's that simple.
7. Kelly hasn't even apologized for her previous transgressions and her department is guilty of criminal offenses that are subject to fourteen years in jail. Why does this not apply to Kelly Kritsch or any of the People in the department who have clearly used the information to steal from the Beneficiary and take advantage of him?
8. When Will the stolen money be repaid, and when Will the automated payments from the shelter portion of Ontario Works resume? (if there are any credits owed to the Beneficiary, Hydro Will send him a check because that money belongs to him).
9. Where did Lili and Mathieu get the idea that rent is \$1104.00 and increasing to \$1132.00 in November?
10. If You did not provide an 'Entitlement Form' to the Beneficiary for the years of 2021 through 2025, did You or did You not provide a copy to Ontario Works as the Beneficiary is required to do (but was unable to do because You didn't send him a copy of the Entitlement Form).
11. Why do You believe that because You fill out the Application for subsidy that the Beneficiary is no longer Entitled to a copy of the Entitlement form to know what Benefits he qualifies for and what is being paid out, and to whom?
12. I'm also officially requesting a receipt to show exactly what is paid to the landlord every month by the city because none of the Entitlement Forms provided appear to show the lump sum payments that were made to the landlord (one for over \$10k) or the periods where subsidy was unlawfully revoked without Notice to the Beneficiary. By Way of Your records, it appears as though the landlord has received rent without any interference whatsoever.
13. Is there a separate ledger that shows what was actually paid and to whom and may I request a copy of whatever amounts have actually been paid to the landlord,

not what was 'scheduled' or approved to be paid?

14. Was the landlord lying to Me about the times the subsidy was unlawfully revoked without notice, or is that not reflected in the Entitlement Notices on Record to cover the fraud?

I look forward to hearing from You and I don't Wish to ever hear from Kelly Kritsch again if she is not going to apologize and tell Me why she and her staff should not be criminally charged if they don't get the stolen money in the Beneficiary's account by the end of today.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

I'm tired of People like Mili Mukalay pretending to know more about the cost of the Beneficiary's rent and what he's entitled to receive in the Way of Benefits than the Beneficiary does.

[Quoted text hidden]



Kelly Kritsch - Notice of Revocation of Consent to Private Communications and Exchange of Information for Beneficiary Sean von Dehn.pdf
314K

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 18, 2025 at 4:21 PM

To: "Cooke, Jason" <jason.cooke@ottawa.ca>, "Regimbald, Mathieu" <mathieu.regimbald@ottawa.ca>, "Mukalay, Lili" <Lili.Mukalay@ottawa.ca>, "Langlais, Geneviève" <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>, info@multifaithhousing.ca, "Kritsch, Kelly" <kelly.kritsch@ottawa.ca>, "Abou-Arraj, Sana" <sana.abou-arraj@ottawa.ca>, celia.north@ottawa.ca, "Amaro, Christine" <christine.amaro@ottawa.ca>, Housing Manager <housing.manager@multifaithhousing.ca>, Alexia Ferron-Walters <tenancies@multifaithhousing.ca>

Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability, Sarah Lorenz, Personal, Private Capacity

I can't stand People who are Willfully antagonistic. Clearly, the attached letter is in response to this email, yet Sarah and Alexia are responding to Me in a separate thread playing dumb, pretending their email has nothing to do with covering over this fraud and the inconsistencies in each of Your stories.

So if Sarah Lorenz is going to point fingers at Home for Good and say it's Home for Good's fault that they have been requesting and receiving an extra \$60.00 for utilities that they are not paying, she can do that in front of the individual she is accusing.

Sarah says that she provided the information to Home for Good and Home for Good engaged in fraud entirely on their own, asking for \$60.00 in utilities for the landlord knowing that the Beneficiary was paying those utilities from their basic needs portion of Ontario Works.

Sarah has also provided notice of rent increases (not receipts as she stated in her attached email to Me) for each of the year which do not appear to coincide with what Home for Good claims to have received for subsidies in the same period (unless everything Jason sent Me was just fabricated to cover over the fraud). It appears as though at least the last two years of Entitlement Forms were fabricated and do not reflect the rent increases.

Sarah also confirms that Mathieu and Lili were in fact making stuff up entirely and there Will be no rent increase until December. Convenient how quickly You serve a Notice after I tell every One what the law says about how often rent can be increased and by how much.

So, the big question is how did Home for Good get the Idea that MHI required \$1192.00 as claimed in the Entitlement form and who is responsible for providing receipts for every month I have lived here? Although Sarah concedes in her email to Me today that she is required to provide rent receipts upon request, she has refused to provide receipts upon request every other time I have asked her, telling Me that because I don't pay the rent and receive funding from the City of Ottawa, the City of Ottawa has the obligation to provide receipts.

You are both implicated in the fraudulent Entitlement Notice and the forms Jason provided shows that the City was claiming \$60.00 in utilities for at least four years.

If You are going to each point fingers, You can do it in front of Me. I've been as King for receipts for rent since before I made My application to the LTB, so I'd like to request receipts for as far back as the law requires You to keep them on hand.

When is the stolen money going to be repaid and Will any One be charged for the fraud and breach of trust?

Thank You,

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



Sarah Liar Lorenz - Letter for Household - Lettre pour votre ménage - 105-320 Via Chianti Grove.pdf
370K