



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Summary of First Meeting with Justis Danto-Clancy: Uttering Threats and Collusion in Registry Fraud

1 message

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: Avery Barrington <avery@bruceengel.com>

Thu, Sep 18, 2025 at 7:37 AM

Dear Avery,

I met with Justis Danto-Clancy for the first time yesterday and I must say that it was not a pleasant experience, though it was a *very* memorable One!

I didn't know My lawyer was there to bully and badger Me into submission so that I would give up My rights under threat of revocation of counsel? That was very disappointing.

Prosecution has entered onto the Court Registry that they are proceeding against VON-DEHN, SEAN. Nowhere in the charging information is the name expressed as 'VON-DEHN'. That is REGISTRY FRAUD by definition.

To proceed on the Registry under a different name than what is expressed on the information before the Court is Registry FRAUD. Every new event scheduled is a new *Act* (count) of prosecutorial misconduct because they must *deliberately* be entering 'VON-DEHN' onto the Registry everytime they schedule a new event for 'VON DEHN'.

As a Divisional Court would say, it is not 'reasonable or correct' to presume that some One would not notice the discrepancy as the case proceeds. One time might be just an innocent entry error easily corrected. But two times? Or three times? This is the fourth *event* or Act of Registry fraud that has now been scheduled under 'VON-DEHN'? Hmmm. Is it likely the Registrar didn't notice that it doesn't match the title of the information before the Court? How many times do You believe a Judge Will believe that was just a clerical error? A very *specific, consistent* clerical error. Is it *reasonable* to believe that's a mistake?

How can there be any subject Matter jurisdiction if the Court is unclear about what the subject Matter is? The Registry says the Court is proceeding against VON-DEHN, but the information before the Court is proceeding against VON DEHN.

In no 'legitimate Court' is that okay by any stretch of Justis' Imagine nation.

Now, if prosecution were CORRECTING the name when I point out the FRAUD, it would just be a processing or entry error and corrections would be made - I would also legitimately *stop complaining!* I can deal with *anything else!*

But when I point it out and You INSIST on proceeding with a different name on the Registry than the name charged and titled on the information before the court... Well, then it's deliberate and FRAUD!!! I cannot be a party to FRAUD! [This has already done extreme harm to Me because this exact tactic has been used against Me before!!! The only One who has been criminally harassed and abused, is Me!!!](#)

Why name discrepancies are not permitted

Administrative integrity. The court registry maintains the official record of all proceedings, which **must** accurately reflect the **identities of all parties**. A discrepancy would create an **inconsistent record**, making the case **difficult to track and manage**. (Why I couldn't find it in the system - it's NOT My fucking name!!!)

Valid and enforceable judgments: A judgment or court order issued against a person **with a fake name** would be **unenforceable and potentially invalid**. The court **must be certain that its orders apply to the correct, identifiable individual**. (Warrant is for 'VON-DEHN', not 'VON DEHN' as charged on the Title of the information.)

Fraud and perjury. **Intentionally providing false information to the court**, even just on **one document**, can constitute fraud or a lesser offense like contempt of court. If the false name was provided under oath or affirmation (as in an affidavit), it could even be considered perjury. (Fraus Omnia Vitiare)

Obstructing justice: **Using a fake name to avoid accountability is a form of obstructing justice**. For instance, an arrested person providing a false name can face additional charges. (or a corrupt prosecutor attempting to get around a Trust Instrument on Record with MOJAG, for example).

And NOW, TODAY, Justis Danto-Clancy THREATENS Me by telling Me that if I do not agree to **violate My Trust Instrument** and order a Birth Certificate **in violation of My Spiritual beliefs and convict-Sean's** to PROVE that I am not born on May 6th, 1973, he Will remove him Self as lawyer of Record?!

I do NOT take kindly to threats. I agreed to apply for a Birth Certificate under duress and with extreme prejudice. He said, 'Good!', and walked away. That is a threat in violation of My rights and he Will be liable to Me for it.

I Will remind You that the Trustee Act of Ontario applies to [all Trusts wheneverExpressed](#), and [all Trustees whenever appointed](#). I was appointed by God to Mind Sean von Dehn's House in 2017, and I'm known as King Sean, House von Dehn, Trustee and Executor for the artificial legal person, Sean Stephen von Dehn, Beneficiary of the public Trust Created for My benefit (presumably - though it appears Justis wish es to use it as a tool of exploit a Sean).

Darcy, a student 'shadowing' Justis witnessed his threat to revoke counsel if I did not agree to let him violate My Spiritual beliefs and convictions in violation of a Trust on file with MOJAG. What kind of example does he think he is setting, exactly? You say You fight for People's rights while You threaten to revoke counsel if I do not let You violate My rights and Trust obligations so that this corrupt prosecutor can proceed against Me in fraud?!

Seriously, this Man takes arrogance and incompetence to a whole new level. And incompetence is being kind because if he's not incompetent, clueless and arrogant and legitimately too stupid to know this is Registry fraud, then he's a criminal colluding with a corrupt prosecutor to engage in Registry fraud with malicious intent to trespass upon a Trust Instrument, hoping to railroad what You like to call 'SRL's.

I even offered to have Justis subpoena My Mum if he really doesn't believe that I'm born on June 5th, 1973, or just pick up the phone and call her - just so that I could try to be agreeable without violating My Trust, My Spiritual beliefs and convictions. That tells Me it has NOTHING to do with My 'birthday', its about ego, power, and control. I don't even Call it a 'birthday', I call it My name day because that's the Gift I was Given on that day. It belongs to Me and no One has any right to use it for commercial purposes without My express Writ of consent. Period.

Finally, a Good lawyer would be arguing that the worst thing I'm accused of having done is nowhere near as bad as the pain and suffering I have already endured by the accusations alone, the unlawful arrest (no warrant existed, that IS why the charge was withdrawn - I can't stand People who gaslight, especially when it is further clarified in the disclosure/information) and the conditions that have been imposed upon Me ENTIRELY UNLAWFULLY!!!

If it is NOT Registry fraud, then I absolutely have the right to point out the discrepancy and demand that the Court change the Registry to match the Title of the charging documents and if the prosecution is not engaged in fraud, they Will comply because it speaks to the accuracy and integrity of the Courts.

This is a courtesy email to be sure that I understood Justis clearly. He did threaten to revoke him Self as counsel if I did not agree to violate My Trust Instrument on Record with MOJAG and order a Birth Certificate *at My own expense* and in violation of My Spiritual beliefs and convict-Sean's, correct?

He has also expressed that complaining that the name on the Registry does not match the name of the information before the Court is a 'frivolous and vexatious' thing to complain about, correct?

In order to maintain Justis Danto-Clancy as counsel I must violate My Trust and allow the Crown to proceed against a fake name on the Registry to avoid accountability to MOJAG, correct?

I didn't know that My lawyer's duty to the Court was to bully Me into submission and help the prosecutor violate due process?

I Wish to believe that Justis Danto-Clancy doesn't *know* this is Registry fraud, I really do - but it's a hard sell.

Now, if I misunderstood Mr. Fancy Pantsy Justis Danto-Clancy and he was just having a bad day and didn't really mean to threaten Me, no harm done. You let prosecution know that the name on the Registry needs to be changed to match the name on the information, and I'll be happy to tell every One how I 'identify' who I am as a Living Man with My thumbprint. Can't make any mistakes because there is only One of Me and I only Spell My name one Way. I don't even care that they have the wrong birthday, I just want them to correct it so that We are talking about the actual Man before the Court and not some corporate fiction. Is that okay with every One? Is that too much to ask, or Will You threaten Me again?

I'll be expecting an apology and revocation of Your threat. We live in a time where if I 'identify' as a woman even though I have a penis, failing to acknowledge that I am as real a 'woman' as any One else is considered discourteous and probably contempt by the Court but You are trying to tell Me that I can't even have the dignity of My name being Spelled and Styled properly in a legal matter where I am the subject Matter? Contra Bonos Mores. Your arguments are not even reasonable.

I Wish to make sure I understand all of these threats clearly before I make any decisions. You want Me to order a birth certificate in violation of My Trust and Spiritual Convictions, at My expense, or You Will revoke Your Self as counsel, correct?

Now, maybe just having a bad day, maybe You legitimately believed I was bullshitting You about the Registry fraud. But if it is NOT Registry fraud, then prosecution Will have no problem updating the Registry and amending any relevant documents to reflect the name charged in the information before the Court. Easy peasy. There is absolutely no Good reason a Court would not Wish to be accurate in its filings, so there is no Good reason to not bring it up unless You are complicit in the fraud or completely ignorant of Registry fraud (and I understand You are still very young in Your profession).

I also Wish to be very clear that this has *nothing to do* with attempting to evade accountability in any Way. I am not suggesting the Court, police or prosecutors do not have the right to make accusations against Me whatsoever, I am simply insisting that if they Wish to do so, they do so in My proper, legal and lawful name, and I have that request on Record with MOJAG. Hallowed be My name.

I am also sick and tired of lawyers gaslighting and pretending they don't know the difference between a natural person and an artificial person in Law. There is absolutely nothing unreasonable about as King to be recognized by the Courts as a natural person in Law. Artificial persons

(statutory creations) are expressed in ALL CAPS. Natural persons are expressed upper and lower case, or their 'natural' Style and Spelling.

I look forward to hearing from Justis Danto-Clancy.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean