

Section 11d - Presumption of Innocence - Charterpedia and Invite a Sean to Court, December 3rd, 2025

12 messages

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com> Mon, Nov 3, 2025 at 2:16 PM To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Dear John Wyatt, Conan MacIntyre and Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti,

Provision

- 11. Any person charged with an offence has the right:
 - d. to be *presumed innocent* until *proven guilty* according to law *in a fair* and *public* hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal.

This right is being violated with gross impunity by suggesting that I do not have the right to DEMAND that there be a public Record of the charges against Me that matches the name and Title of the information before the Courts!!!

Similar provisions

Other Canadian legislation

The presumption of innocence is also protected by section 7 and section 11(e) of the Charter. (In addition, section 7 serves to protect analogous fair trial rights.) In certain circumstances in which both section 7 and section 11(d) violations are claimed, a finding that one provision has been infringed will necessarily entail a finding that the other has been infringed as well (R. v. Rose, [1998] 3 S.C.R. 262; R. v. J.J., 2022 SCC 28). Where a Charter claim is brought under both section 7 and section 11(d), these rights should be assessed together where they are co-extensive (such as in the case of the right to a fair trial and the right to make full answer and defence) and separately where a concern falls specifically under one of the rights (such as claims of overbreadth(over-stepping Your jurisdiction and authority to cause harm to an innocent Man seeking relief and remedy from a competent Court), which is a principle of fundamental justice under section 7). Section 11(d) is also frequently considered in conjunction with the open court principle, which is protected under section 2(b) (R. v. Mentuck, [2001] 3 S.C.R. 442). A similar provision is also found in section 2(f)

of the Canadian Bill of Rights. The Preamble of the Constitution Act, 1867 references judicial independence, an aspect of section 11(d) (note that such protection under the Constitution is not greater than what is found in this paragraph (Therrien (Re), [2001] 2 S.C.R. 3).

International human rights instruments binding on Canada

Provisions similar to section 11(d) are found in articles 14(1) and 14(2) of the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*.

Purpose

Section 11(d) helps to ensure that *only those who are guilty are ultimately condemned by the criminal justice system*. Section 11(d) protects the innocent in two ways. First, section 11(d) guarantees the right of any person charged with an offence to be presumed innocent until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Second, section 11(d) guarantees that the process whereby the guilt of any accused will be proved, will be fair. An essential component of a fair process is that the trier of fact — whether judge or jury — be independent and impartial (*Dubois v. The Queen*, [1985] 2 S.C.R. 350 at page 357; *R. v. Oakes*, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103 at paragraph 32). Section 11(d) does not guarantee "the most favourable procedures imaginable" for the accused, nor is the broad principle of trial fairness assessed solely from the accused's perspective (*J.J.*, *supra* at paragraph 125). The right to a fair trial is considered from the perspectives of the accused, the complainant, the community and the criminal justice system at large (*J.J.*, *supra* at paragraph 121).

For the umpteenth time, I have been found guilty of no crime, there is no public Record of any charges for Sean von Dehn on any public facing website or in the Court Case Lookup Tool. It is 'unreasonable and incorrect' to suggest that You, John Wyatt, telling Me that You represent the Judge and 'the Bench' of the Superior Court of Justice? So You are telling Me that a Superior Court Justice Will endorse and support Your belief that You can use the bail supervisor program to unlawfully inconvenience a Man and subject him to unnecessary, undue harm by the loss of his rights so You can compel him to travel two and a half hours for no other reason than to show that he is 'obedient' and 'compliant' with the unconstitutional orders You are Giving him? You *could* arrange a phone interview instead, especially considering that in this case, I was the one to book the next court date, I am the one writing the Court everyday as King prosecution what evidence he has to support his allegations and he says nothing at all, Nihil Dicit!

And You represent the Judge?! Either that is a lie, or You are the most treasonous, corrupt judge I have seen war King against the constitutional rights of Canada's People in a long time!

I clearly asked You why You cannot arrange to have a meeting with Me on the phone, advising You that I have a Court hearing booked for December 3rd to complain about exactly this fraud and Your complete disregard for My inherent rights according to Canada's Charter AND My general, overall well being - emotionally, psychologically, and even economically. The 'Court' is not here to exploit People who are presumed innocent, You are to ensure that My constitutional rights are protected throughout the judicial process or I am entitled to compensation because the loss of a right is a form of harm because it is a breach of Trust. Why? Because the Constitutional Rights of Canada's People are the only thing the Courts in any province or territory were Created to do. If they fail to do that which they were instituted to guarantee, then they are not serving the People as advertised, which is a fundamental breach of Justice and the public Trust.

When I tell You that My rights have been violated, all Court processes should STOP until the Charter violation (called a Charter challenge) has been heard because failing to do so only aggravates the harm like pouring salt in a wound and the Courts generally don't like to increase their liability when My inherent rights are the only thing they were Trusted to ensure are guaranteed in the first place.

You are hereby on Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability because You acknowledged that You are causing Me harm and violating My rights and asserted that You have the right to do so until My innocence is proved by a Court. Wrong. You have a legal and lawful obligation to presume I am not guilty until Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti proves his case.

I am inviting You to attend Court on December 3rd, 2025 and You can explain to the Judge at the hearing why You believe You have the right to ignore My protests in violation of My right to the presumption of innocence and to a fair and public hearing that does not use a fake entity on the Court Case Lookup Tool and public Registry.

Because this is a detail that the Court Will know because they obviously know what name they are proceeding against on the Registry because some One in Toronto is allegedly adding a hyphen to the name for the Court Case Lookup

Tool and nobody war King for the Court or prosecuting against Me can seem to explain why? It Will be the fourth time that police have tried to proceed against Me using a fake name to avert the official Registry and because You can verify these facts by using the Court Case Lookup Tool Yourselves (it is a public database) not only do You have the legal and lawful obligation to presume I am innocent and telling the Truth, but You can verify these facts for Your Self.

If John and Conan both believe that using a different name on the official Registry that doesn't match the name or Title of the documents proceeding before the Court *isn't identity and Registry fraud with malicious intent...* Well, frankly, I don't believe them. I don't believe either one of them would be 'okay' with some One using a fake name on the Registry to proceed against them or faulting them with bail conditions when You've been found guilty of no crime, but You expect Me to be okay with that? And I'm supposed to believe that You don't know the prosecutor is engaged in fraud and that You are conspiring with him?

You Wish for Me to believe that the purpose of the bail supervisor program is to ignore My rights, see how much You can inconvenience Me under threat of arrest for failing to comply with orders I should never have been subject to in the first place? If You believe that sounds reasonable, I would like You to come to Court on December 3rd to explain Your reasoning to a judge. You explain why it is necessary to inconvenience Me in violation of My rights rather than have a five minute phone call? You tell Me how this is 'helping' Me to comply with the unlawful bail conditions in violation of My rights, and why You don't care that You're violating My rights.

If You read the Department of Justice website You can find a detailed explanation of Section 24.1, the '*Provisions*' of the Court. You can look the Word up on Your own if You Wish or You can read the explanation *provided* by the Minister of Justice and Attorney General. The Significance is to indicate beforehand reference. If rights related to due process are being violated, it is a PRELIMINARY Issue which MUST be addressed before the case can proceed.

No 'legitimate' Court would 'gaslight' a Notice of Liability for violating My constitutional rights guaranteed by the Courts perpetrating the violation.

Courts don't generally generate torts against themselves unless they are engaged in fraud and hoping to 'railroad' what they call a self presented litigant.

If neither of You can explain to Me why Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti is using the name 'VON-DEHN' and a different case file number on the Registry (the Court Case Lookup Tool), then I Will presume You are either criminally negligent or conspiring with him, In either case, You are liable to Me for violating My rights and doing so with impunity after being advised of the trespass.

You are hereby served in Your personal, private capacity.

Stephen Lichti is liable to Me for an additional five ounces of Gold for the aggravated harm I was subject to today by bail supervisors claiming to represent the Judge and 'the Bench' violating My rights with malicious intent and impunity? I'd like to know what a Judge has to say about that, please come to Court on December 3rd. You can get the details from William Coyte, apparently You are in close communication with Virtual Crown and the City of Ottawa.

King Sean, House von Dehn,

Hand of Stephen,

The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 9:13 AM To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Core Values:

- 1. We believe that every individual has intrinsic worth and has the right to be treated with dignity and respect.
- 2. We believe in the potential of all human beings and in their capacity for growth and change.
- 3. We believe that all individuals have the right to live in a safe and peaceful society and that justice is best served through measures that resolve conflict and promote the reparation of harm.
- 4. We believe that individuals are responsible for their own actions and must be held accountable for those actions.
- 5. We believe that the root causes of crime are found within communities and that the solutions to crime are also found in communities.
- 6. We believe in serving community needs by cooperating and collaborating to achieve a healthy and safe society

Wow, amazing! So You believe I have SOME rights, just not the Constitutional rights guaranteed by the Courts. I don't care what rights You BELIEVE I have, I care about Your obligation to guarantee that My Charter rights are not violated by Your lawless dictates issued for no other reason than to satisfy Your lawless, inflated ego and totalitarian dictates in violation of My rights and cleary with intent to cause Me additional emotional, psychological and economic harm.

You are not required to have a legal degree, a bachelors degree is sufficient to work as a bail supervisor but You have the audacity to tell Me You are equal in authority and jurisdiction to a Superior Court Judge? That is a lie for sure that I Wish to discuss with the Judge on December 3rd.

You believe I I have rights, You just don't Wish to Honour the rights protected by Canada's Charter and allegedly guaranteed in Canada's Courts and You allegedly represent the Judge? Nice try, John.

I look forward to hearing from You. You have lots of time, You can tell Me in Writing why You believe the bail program gives You the force of law to cause Me harm in violation of My rights. If You don't reply, I'll presume You don't have a legal or lawful excuse and You are violating My rights because You are malicious fascists because that's certainly the impression You've made upon Me.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com> Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 10:37 AM To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Good morning, not so 'gentle' Men,

You believe You can cause Me as much harm as You Wish by violating My right to the presumption of innocence and holding Me to terms and conditions that only apply to People who have been convicted of a crime. You have NO OBLIGATION to make sure You are not engaged in fraud and colluding with a corrupt prosecutor in violation of My rights, despite not being able to find or locate any public record of these charges whatsoever? Sure, plead ignorance, I guess - ignorance has always been an exceptable excuse for violating rights with impunity.

If the Courts and 'the Bench' do not have to Honour My constitutional rights, then what is the point of the Court and Canada's constitution? So that State Actors can legislate any lawless Act they Wish?

No, the constitution LIMITS Your ability to abuse Me in violation of My rights, and You are disregarding My right to the presumption of innocence and to not be unlawfully bound by penal conditions without being convicted of a crime.

IT is NOT the intent of the Court to violate as many rights of the accused as You can get away with before My trial date, that is 'contra bonos mores', against Good morals and a criminal Act when You continue to do so with impunity and without lawful excuse, *knowing* You are causing Me harm. That is criminal INTENT.

So please make sure I did not misinterpret Our conversation which is now available on Spotify!

https://www.vondehnvisuals.com/2025/11/04/canadas-criminal-clowns-for-crown-treasonous-traitors-since-1867-meet-john-wyatt-and-conan-macintyre-john-hooligan-society-of-sociopaths/

Have a wonderful morning conspiring with criminal clerks of the Ottawa Crown and Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti. Please also be sure to come to Court on December 3rd, I'm sure the Judge Will love to have a Word with You about Your perspective on the duties and obligations of an officer of the Court in any capacity.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Sat, Nov 8, 2025 at 10:07 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Dear John and Conan,

You must provide a lawful excuse for as King Me to attend in person when You know doing so causes Me unnecessary harm and are engaged in fraud and malicious prosecution. If You are NOT engaged in fraud and malicious prosecution conspiring with Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti, You Will provide Me with Your lawful excuse for violating My rights with malicious intent and show Me where any 'Tom, Dick or Jane' can find these charges online.

If You do not tell Me where I can find a public Record of these charges, I Will presume You are colluding with Stephen with criminal INTENT to cause harm.

Legal and lawful excuse is not 'because I say so', that if fascism and contempt. Have a nice day, You criminal clowns.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean [Quoted text hidden]

Good morning, John and Conan!

You've had a week to tell Me why You believe that You have the right to use the bail supervision program to cause Me additional harm and duress in violation of My rights. You MUST provide Me with Your lawful excuse or You are presumed to be violating My constitutional rights with IMPUNITY (despite knowing You are causing Me harm without lawful excuse), which shows CRIMINAL INTENT.

What is Your legal and lawful excuse for violating My rights with such belligerence and colluding with Stephen Lichti to engage Registry fraud?

You really believe 'legitimate' Courts ghost People and have no 'public Record' of the charges? The only place any criminal charges exist for Me, are in the desk drawers of every One colluding with Stephen Lichti. The public Record proves there is a public Record of the charges. Where is it? Any One? Bueller?

You are served and if You do not apologize to Me in reply to this email, You Will be presumed to be colluding with criminal intent to cause harm in violation of My rights and each of You Will be liable to Me in Your personal, private capacity for an additional one million dollars each.

I hope this email finds You both well, and that You've been saving Your pennies for a rainy day, because a hell storm is coming for You both.

Intentionally causing some One unnecessary harm in violation of their charter rights is moral bankruptcy, vindictive and vexatious behaviour. If You Wish to act like bullies on a playground, I'm coming to steal Your lunch money!

Moral bankruptcy has no place in Canada's Courts. Nihil Dicit? Just like Stephen. Cowards cower in the shadows. Men speak when they are addressed, especially when accused of such morally bankrupt degeneracy.

Contra Bonus Mores. Rex Non Potest Peccare.

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 11, 2025 at 10:36 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Notice of Breach of Trust

You are breaching My Trust by failing to provide a reply with Your lawful excuse for threatening Me in violation of My rights. 'Gaslighting' is not acceptable and considered

further emotional and psychological abuse recognized by the Court - but I don't need to tell John that because John represents 'the Bench', right?

Breach of Trust by a State Actor (bail supervisor) using their trusted position of office to exploit Beneficiaries rather than guarantee their rights are not violated by their bail supervision program, is subject to fourteen years in jail according to the same Criminal Code You are alleging to charge Me under in violation of due process and My right to a fair and impartial hearing in reasonable time.

You didn't get the Release Order from any legitimate Court because there is no Record of these charges, which tells Me that You could only obtain the information from individuals involved in the fraud. Unless You show Me a public Record of the charges, I Will presume You are colluding against Me in fraud with intent to aggravate the harm done to Me by the loss of My rights by Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti.

Keep this up and maybe I'll get to be Your bail supervisor one day, wouldn't that be fun!?

You have until Friday, 5:00 PM to Show Me where the bail supervision program has the force of law to cause Me intentional harm in violation of My rights. If You fail to provide an apology or legal and lawful excuse, You Will be considered to have tacitly conceded to abusing Your Trusted position of office to exploit the accused in violation of his right to the presumption of innocent, due process, fairness, security of person, unlawful search and seizure, unlawful detainment, right to trial in reasonable time, violation of the contracts You've Signed with Me which states that You are to Act in My best interest and assist Me with remaining in compliance with bail conditions, not set up arbitrary meeting You know Will make it more difficult for Me to make appointments and cause Me unnecessary economic harm, and wasting My very Valuable time.

I am Acting in My Sui Juris capacity which means that I am Entitled to receive equal pay for equal work. My (previous) lawyer was charing \$475/hour, My rate is \$1000.00/hour because I have the Status of King or Sovereign when Acting in My Sui Juris capacity. So You Will be liable to Me for every hour or portion of hour I spend tall King with bail supervisors in violation of My rights. That is in addition to the one million each of You are liable to Me for as agents of CROWN, You charges Will be added to Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti's liability for Crown.

Remember, remember, this is Your FINAL NOTICE before You Will be NOTED IN DEFAULT, 'NIHIL DICIT' (he says nothing, Maxim in Law) and presumed guilty of collusion in malicious prosecution, identity and registry fraud with malicious intent to cause unnecessary harm to a Beneficiary in violation of his expressed, constitutionally protected rights.

If You Will demonstrate this much belligerence with a Man who knows his rights and the Rule of Law as well as I do, I can only imagine how abusive You are with other accused victims of Canada's judicial system who don't know how to effectively advocate for their rights and advise You that the Courts Will NEVER sanction the Willful trespass of a

constitutionally protected right so that You can cause a Man unnecessary harm. I don't usually need to explain this to People unless they are morally bankrupt and belligerent.

You are hereby served, happy Remembrance Day!

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 11, 2025 at 11:22 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Good late morning, colluding criminals,

I just Wish for You to know that exposing criminals war King in Canada's judicial system is basically what I do for a job. I know You don't consider My work valuable because You have no respect for the constitionally protected rights of Canada's People - well, unless You are just discriminating against Me with extreme prejudice in violation of every principal integral to justice in a free and democratic society.

I don't Wish for You to say that I didn't Give You fair opportunity to explain Your contempt and belligerence for the Rule of Law and My rights. You believe You can cause Me harm with impunity, I am advising You that it is going to cost You - I'm done with People acknowledging that You are causing Me unnecessary harm but believe You are not liable to Me for it without a lawful excuse? Explain Your Self, the world is watching!!!

The phone call We had is very popular on Spotify, John! It seems You are a natural at content create Sean!!!

Blessings, criminals!!!

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 11, 2025 at 11:23 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Sorry, I had meant to leave You with the link to today's Post.

Blessings, hope and Trust this email finds You in Good Spirits.

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean [Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 12, 2025 at 8:52 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Good morning, John and Conan,

So when I Write You to show You what the Rule of Law has to say about Your disregard for My rights and intent to cause Me harm, as King of You where You gain the LEGAL or LAWFUL right to cause Me harm in violation of My rights, You have NOTHING TO SAY?!

My guess is that if I call You, You Will find Your tongue and continue to threaten with unlawful orders in violation of My rights. So I'm not going to call You because I'm feeling threatened and intimidated by Your belligerence, determination to cause Me harm in violation of My rights, and Your clear and obvious attempt to ghost My legal and lawful arguments.

Once again, provide a legal and lawful excuse for Your intent to cause Me harm in violation of My rights or You are presumed to be engaged in malicious, criminal conduct with intent. You've threatened to cause Me egregious harm for failing to comply with Your unconstitutional and unlawful orders, so You Will provide Me with Your belief in Your right to do so ON THIS COURT OF RECORD.

If You can't reply to Me through the official channels of the Court, then I KNOW You are colluding with Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti. This is the official email address for Crown and the Court of Record related to this Matter.

I look forward to hearing from You. Honourable People with legal and lawful right to engage in what they are doing do not ghost People - cowards without a lawful excuse for their contempt for My constitutional rights do that.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean It is a *principle of fundamental justice* that the penalty imposed on an accused requires proof of fault reflecting the offence and punishment (*R. v. Brown*, 2022 SCC 18 at paragraph 95; *Re B.C. Motor Vehicle Act* at pages 513-15). Where an offence carries the potential for imprisonment, negligence is required as a minimum level of mens rea, in that at least a defence of due diligence must be open to an accused, for an offence to accord with the principles of fundamental justice (Re B.C. Motor Vehicle Act at page 492; Wholesale Travel Group Inc.).

Because *true crimes* (breach of Trust by agents of the Courts violating the rights of an individual contrary to the fundamental principles of justice) *carry greater stigma than public welfare offences*, *proof of penal negligence*, in the form of *a marked departure from the standard of a reasonable person*, *is the minimum fault requirement for a criminal conviction* (*Brown* at paragraph 90; *R. v. Beatty*, [2008] 1 S.C.R. 49 at paragraphs 33-36). For some crimes, because of the special stigma attached to a conviction or the available penalties upon conviction, the principles of fundamental justice will require a higher (subjective) level of *mens rea* (*Vaillancourt, supra*, at pages 653-54; *R. v. Martineau*, [1990] 2 S.C.R. 633 at pages 646-47).

You are making a 'marked departure from the standard of a REASONABLE person', suggesting that I am not entitled to due process, a public Record, and asserting that using a fake name and birthday on the Registry is not fraud on its face?! Really? You expect a Court to believe that? And You expect Me to believe You represent a competent, legitimate Court?

Would any REASONABLE person try to convince a Man that 'it doesn't Matter' if the name and birthday of the Man do not match the name and birthday of the accused? Is that a REASONABLE request, or does it sound like You are colluding with the malicious prosecution in violation of every fundamental principle of justice?

You explain to Me how police gain a 'positive id' on a Man by running his fingerprints in a database to obtain a 'positive id', only to get the name and the birthday WRONG? They *deliberately* entered a false birthday, reversing the month and day of the birthday in the police information because the birthday is how they look up cases on the REGISTRY.

So they changed the name and birthday to get around the True identity of the accused to proceed against him in fraud and off the official Court of Record. You know this is True because You have access to the Court case look up tool and there is no public Record of any charges against Sean von Dehn.

You are colluding with a criminal prosecutor using the Courts as a cloak for fraud and have a duty and obligation to report him or be found criminally negligent and colluding with Crown to use the Courts as a cloak for fraud and the bail supervision program to subject the Man to additional harm in violation of his rights without ever having been found guilty of any crime, including 'failure to appear'.

I'm waiting for Your lawful excuse. What is the purpose of the Courts if not to protect Me from harm and bullies like the two of You who clearly have no regard for the constitutional rights of Canada's People?

If You are bonded, You have a duty and obligation to advise Your insurance provider that there is a claim upon Your bond for egregious, criminal breach of Trust in the amount of \$1 million each. If You fail to notify Your insurance provider that You have breached the public Trust, You Will not be entitled to the protections of Your insurance policy and Will be liable in Your personal, private capacity, meaning I can lien Your home and other personal property until restitution in made to Me in full (for which Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti Will be accountable for Crown, though I presume Crown Will collect Your share from Your insurance policy or private property as the case may be).

Blessings, have a wonderful morning,

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com> Wed, Nov 12
To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Wed, Nov 12, 2025 at 4:40 PM

Good afternoon every One,

I know that John and Conan are anxious and eager to report to the Court all the Good work I'm doing in the community to advocate for the rights of Canada's People in Honour of My Oath to His Majesty, so I thought I should share with You My latest advocacy work in a hearing before the Social Benefits Tribunal for rights violations by the public Trustee.

I hope and Trust this email finds You well and that You are diligently studying the Rule of Law so that You can come up with a legal or lawful excuse for Your criminal trespasses against Me in violation of My rights.

Happy Lucky Wednesday,

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen,

The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com> Thu, Nov 13, 2025 at 9:04 AM To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

FINAL NOTICE OF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LIABILITY: FRAUD, BREACH OF TRUST WITH CRIMINAL INTENT

Good morning, John Wyatt and Conan MacIntyre,

You know, if You are NOT complicit in court fraud and malicious prosecution with criminal intent, You are Acting exactly as criminals would Act hoping to evade accountability - which is typically what criminals do.

You have been CHARGED with criminal breach of Trust with malicious intent. Why malicious intent? Because You have 'nothing to say' in response to the charges and are 'ghosting' My emails on the official Court of Record.

Why do You think I'm addressing these emails to both of You and the Ottawa Crown Attorney? Because this is the official email address for Ottawa Crown. You have no problem bullying Me when You are off the Court of Record, but You coincidentally have 'nothing to say' when I ask You where You gain the legal right and authority to violate My constitutional rights as agents of the Court (bench, according to John)?

Your behaviour is what We expect from children. You are going to 'teach Me' how to be a benefit to My community by aiding and abetting Court fraud and violating My constitutional rights with impunity? No wonder crime rates are skyrocketing, every One I've met war King for the Courts so far are using the Courts as a cloak for fraud.

Grown ups take responsibility and accountability for their actions, they don't 'ghost' People when as King what Gives them the legal and lawful right to cause Me harm - sociopathic narcissists behave like the two of You are behaving now.

Will either One of You put on Your big boy pants and tell Me that You were wrong? Will You concede that it doesn't seem 'reasonable or correct' to cause a Man additional harm in violation of his rights, or Will You double down on Your delinquency without lawful excuse?

I'm very curious. I plan to read these emails to the Court on December 3rd so the Court can tell Me if this is what they expect from the bail supervision program.

I hope this email finds You both well and helps You find Your spine.

King Sean, House von Dehn, Hand of Stephen, The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]