



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Section 11d - Presumption of Innocence - Charterpedia and Invite a Sean to Court, December 3rd, 2025

6 messages

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 3, 2025 at 2:16 PM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Dear John Wyatt, Conan MacIntyre and Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti,

Provision

11. Any person charged with an offence has ***the right***:

d. to be ***presumed innocent*** until ***proven guilty*** according to law *in a fair and public hearing* by an independent and impartial tribunal.

This right is being violated with gross impunity by suggesting that I do not have the right to DEMAND that there be a public Record of the charges against Me that matches the name and Title of the information before the Courts!!!

Similar provisions

Other Canadian legislation

The presumption of innocence is also protected by section 7 and section 11(e) of the Charter. (In addition, section 7 serves to protect analogous fair trial rights.) In certain circumstances in which both section 7 and section 11(d) violations are claimed, *a finding that one provision has been infringed will necessarily entail a finding that the other has been infringed as well* (*R. v. Rose*, [1998] 3 S.C.R. 262; *R. v. J.J.*, 2022 SCC 28). Where a Charter claim is brought under both section 7 and section 11(d), these rights should be assessed together where they are co-extensive (such as in the case of the right to a fair trial and the right to make full answer and defence) and separately where a concern falls specifically under one of the rights (***such as claims of overbreadth(over-stepping Your jurisdiction and authority to cause harm to an innocent Man seeking relief and remedy from a competent Court)***, which is a ***principle of fundamental justice under section 7)***. Section 11(d) is also *frequently considered in conjunction with the open court principle, which is protected under section 2(b)* (*R. v. Mentuck*, [2001] 3 S.C.R. 442). A similar provision is also found in section 2(f)

of the *Canadian Bill of Rights*. The Preamble of the *Constitution Act, 1867* references judicial independence, an aspect of section 11(d) (note that such protection under the *Constitution* is not greater than what is found in this paragraph (*Therrien (Re)*, [2001] 2 S.C.R. 3).

International human rights instruments binding on Canada

Provisions similar to section 11(d) are found in articles 14(1) and 14(2) of the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*.

Purpose

Section 11(d) helps to ensure that **only those who are guilty are ultimately condemned by the criminal justice system**. Section 11(d) protects the innocent in two ways. First, section 11(d) guarantees the right of any person charged with an offence to be presumed innocent until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Second, section 11(d) guarantees that the process whereby the guilt of any accused will be proved, will be fair. An essential component of a fair process is that the trier of fact — whether judge or jury — be independent and impartial (*Dubois v. The Queen*, [1985] 2 S.C.R. 350 at page 357; *R. v. Oakes*, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103 at paragraph 32). Section 11(d) does not guarantee “the most favourable procedures imaginable” for the accused, nor is the broad principle of trial fairness assessed solely from the accused’s perspective (*J.J.*, *supra* at paragraph 125). The right to a fair trial is considered from the perspectives of the accused, the complainant, the community and the criminal justice system at large (*J.J.*, *supra* at paragraph 121).

For the umpteenth time, I have been found guilty of no crime, there is no public Record of any charges for Sean von Dehn on any public facing website or in the Court Case Lookup Tool. It is 'unreasonable and incorrect' to suggest that You, John Wyatt, telling Me that You represent the Judge and 'the Bench' of the Superior Court of Justice? So You are telling Me that a Superior Court Justice Will endorse and support Your belief that You can use the bail supervisor program to unlawfully inconvenience a Man and subject him to unnecessary, undue harm by the loss of his rights so You can compel him to travel two and a half hours for no other reason than to show that he is 'obedient' and 'compliant' with the unconstitutional orders You are Giving him? You *could* arrange a phone interview instead, especially considering that in this case, I was the one to book the next court date, I am the one writing the Court everyday as King prosecution what evidence he has to support his allegations and he says nothing at all, Nihil Dicit!

And You represent the Judge?! Either that is a lie, or You are the most treasonous, corrupt judge I have seen war King against the constitutional rights of Canada's People in a long time!

I clearly asked You why You cannot arrange to have a meeting with Me on the phone, advising You that I have a Court hearing booked for December 3rd to complain about exactly this fraud and Your complete disregard for My inherent rights according to Canada's Charter AND My general, overall well being - emotionally, psychologically, and even economically. The 'Court' is not here to exploit People who are presumed innocent, You are to ensure that My constitutional rights are protected throughout the judicial process or I am entitled to compensation because the loss of a right is a form of harm because it is a breach of Trust. Why? Because the Constitutional Rights of Canada's People are the only thing the Courts in any province or territory were Created to do. If they fail to do that which they were instituted to guarantee, then they are not serving the People as advertised, which is a fundamental breach of Justice and the public Trust.

When I tell You that My rights have been violated, all Court processes should STOP until the Charter violation (called a Charter challenge) has been heard because failing to do so only aggravates the harm like pouring salt in a wound and the Courts generally don't like to increase their liability when My inherent rights are the only thing they were Trusted to ensure are guaranteed in the first place.

You are hereby on Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability because You acknowledged that You are causing Me harm and violating My rights and asserted that You have the right to do so until My innocence is proved by a Court. Wrong. You have a legal and lawful obligation to presume I am not guilty until Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti proves his case.

I am inviting You to attend Court on December 3rd, 2025 and You can explain to the Judge at the hearing why You believe You have the right to ignore My protests in violation of My right to the presumption of innocence and to a fair and public hearing that does not use a fake entity on the Court Case Lookup Tool and public Registry.

Because this is a detail that the Court Will know because they obviously know what name they are proceeding against on the Registry because some One in Toronto is allegedly adding a hyphen to the name for the Court Case Lookup

Tool and nobody war King for the Court or prosecuting against Me can seem to explain why? It Will be the fourth time that police have tried to proceed against Me using a fake name to avert the official Registry and because You can verify these facts by using the Court Case Lookup Tool Yourselfs (it is a public database) not only do You have the legal and lawful obligation to presume I am innocent and telling the Truth, but You can verify these facts for Your Self.

If John and Conan both believe that using a different name on the official Registry that doesn't match the name or Title of the documents proceeding before the Court *isn't identity and Registry fraud with malicious intent...* Well, frankly, I don't believe them. I don't believe either one of them would be 'okay' with some One using a fake name on the Registry to proceed against them or faulting them with bail conditions when You've been found guilty of no crime, but You expect Me to be okay with that? And I'm supposed to believe that You don't know the prosecutor is engaged in fraud and that You are conspiring with him?

You Wish for Me to believe that the purpose of the bail supervisor program is to ignore My rights, see how much You can inconvenience Me under threat of arrest for failing to comply with orders I should never have been subject to in the first place? If You believe that sounds reasonable, I would like You to come to Court on December 3rd to explain Your reasoning to a judge. You explain why it is necessary to inconvenience Me in violation of My rights rather than have a five minute phone call? You tell Me how this is 'helping' Me to comply with the unlawful bail conditions in violation of My rights, and why You don't care that You're violating My rights.

If You read the Department of Justice website You can find a detailed explanation of Section 24.1, the '**Provisions**' of the Court. You can look the Word up on Your own if You Wish or You can read the explanation **provided by the Minister of Justice and Attorney General**. The Significance is to indicate **beforehand** reference. If rights related to due process are being violated, it is a PRELIMINARY Issue which MUST be addressed before the case can proceed.

No 'legitimate' Court would 'gaslight' a Notice of Liability for violating My constitutional rights guaranteed by the Courts perpetrating the violation.

Courts don't generally generate torts against themselves unless they are engaged in fraud and hoping to 'railroad' what they call a self presented litigant.

If neither of You can explain to Me why Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti is using the name 'VON-DEHN' and a different case file number on the Registry (the Court Case Lookup Tool), then I Will presume You are either criminally negligent or conspiring with him, In either case, You are liable to Me for violating My rights and doing so with impunity after being advised of the trespass.

You are hereby served in Your personal, private capacity.

Stephen Lichti is liable to Me for an additional five ounces of Gold for the aggravated harm I was subject to today by bail supervisors claiming to represent the Judge and 'the Bench' violating My rights with malicious intent and impunity? I'd like to know what a Judge has to say about that, please come to Court on December 3rd. You can get the details from William Coyte, apparently You are in close communication with Virtual Crown and the City of Ottawa.

King Sean, House von Dehn,

Hand of Stephen,

The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 9:13 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Core Values:

1. We believe that every individual has intrinsic worth and has the right to be treated with dignity and respect.
2. We believe in the potential of all human beings and in their capacity for growth and change.
3. We believe that all individuals have the right to live in a safe and peaceful society and that justice is best served through measures that resolve conflict and promote the reparation of harm.
4. We believe that individuals are responsible for their own actions and must be held accountable for those actions.
5. We believe that the root causes of crime are found within communities and that the solutions to crime are also found in communities.
6. We believe in serving community needs by cooperating and collaborating to achieve a healthy and safe society

Wow, amazing! So You believe I have SOME rights, just not the Constitutional rights guaranteed by the Courts. I don't care what rights You BELIEVE I have, I care about Your obligation to guarantee that My Charter rights are not violated by Your lawless dictates issued for no other reason than to satisfy Your lawless, inflated ego and totalitarian dictates in violation of My rights and clearly with intent to cause Me additional emotional, psychological and economic harm.

You are not required to have a legal degree, a bachelors degree is sufficient to work as a bail supervisor but You have the audacity to tell Me You are equal in authority and jurisdiction to a Superior Court Judge? That is a lie for sure that I Wish to discuss with the Judge on December 3rd.

You believe I have rights, You just don't Wish to Honour the rights protected by Canada's Charter and allegedly guaranteed in Canada's Courts and You allegedly represent the Judge? Nice try, John.

I look forward to hearing from You. You have lots of time, You can tell Me in Writing why You believe the bail program gives You the force of law to cause Me harm in violation of My rights. If You don't reply, I'll presume You don't have a legal or lawful excuse and You are violating My rights because You are malicious fascists because that's certainly the impression You've made upon Me.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 10:37 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Good morning, not so 'gentle' Men,

You believe You can cause Me as much harm as You Wish by violating My right to the presumption of innocence and holding Me to terms and conditions that only apply to People who have been convicted of a crime. You have NO OBLIGATION to make sure You are not engaged in fraud and colluding with a corrupt prosecutor in violation of My rights, despite not being able to find or locate any public record of these charges whatsoever? Sure, plead ignorance, I guess - ignorance has always been an acceptable excuse for violating rights with impunity.

If the Courts and 'the Bench' do not have to Honour My constitutional rights, then what is the point of the Court and Canada's constitution? So that State Actors can legislate any lawless Act they Wish?

No, the constitution LIMITS Your ability to abuse Me in violation of My rights, and You are disregarding My right to the presumption of innocence and to not be unlawfully bound by penal conditions without being convicted of a crime.

IT is NOT the intent of the Court to violate as many rights of the accused as You can get away with before My trial date, that is 'contra bonos mores', against Good morals and a criminal Act when You continue to do so with impunity and without lawful excuse, *knowing* You are causing Me harm. That is criminal INTENT.

So please make sure I did not misinterpret Our conversation which is [now available on Spotify!](#)

<https://www.vondehnvisuals.com/2025/11/04/canadas-criminal-clowns-for-crown-treasonous-traitors-since-1867-meet-john-wyatt-and-conan-macintyre-john-hooligan-society-of-sociopaths/>

Have a wonderful morning conspiring with criminal clerks of the Ottawa Crown and Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti. Please also be sure to come to Court on December 3rd, I'm sure the Judge Will love to have a Word with You about Your perspective on the duties and obligations of an officer of the Court in any capacity.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Sat, Nov 8, 2025 at 10:07 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Dear John and Conan,

You must provide a lawful excuse for as King Me to attend in person when You know doing so causes Me unnecessary harm and are engaged in fraud and malicious prosecution. If You are NOT engaged in fraud and malicious prosecution conspiring with Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti, You Will provide Me with Your lawful excuse for violating My rights with malicious intent and show Me where any 'Tom, Dick or Jane' can find these charges online.

If You do not tell Me where I can find a public Record of these charges, I Will presume You are colluding with Stephen with criminal INTENT to cause harm.

Legal and lawful excuse is not 'because I say so', that if fascism and contempt. Have a nice day, You criminal clowns.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 9:53 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Good morning, John and Conan!

You've had a week to tell Me why You believe that You have the right to use the bail supervision program to cause Me additional harm and duress in violation of My rights. You MUST provide Me with Your lawful excuse or You are presumed to be violating My constitutional rights with IMPUNITY (despite knowing You are causing Me harm without lawful excuse), which shows CRIMINAL INTENT.

What is Your legal and lawful excuse for violating My rights with such belligerence and colluding with Stephen Lichti to engage Registry fraud?

You really believe 'legitimate' Courts ghost People and have no 'public Record' of the charges? The only place any criminal charges exist for Me, are in the desk drawers of every One colluding with Stephen Lichti. The public Record proves there is a public Record of the charges. Where is it? Any One? Bueller?

You are served and if You do not apologize to Me in reply to this email, You Will be presumed to be colluding with criminal intent to cause harm in violation of My rights and each of You Will be liable to Me in Your personal, private capacity for an additional one million dollars each.

I hope this email finds You both well, and that You've been saving Your pennies for a rainy day, because a hell storm is coming for You both.

Intentionally causing some One unnecessary harm in violation of their charter rights is moral bankruptcy, vindictive and vexatious behaviour. If You Wish to act like bullies on a playground, I'm coming to steal Your lunch money!

Moral bankruptcy has no place in Canada's Courts. Nihil Dicit? Just like Stephen. Cowards cower in the shadows. Men speak when they are addressed, especially when accused of such morally bankrupt degeneracy.

Contra Bonus Mores. Rex Non Potest Peccare.

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 11, 2025 at 10:36 AM

To: cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Notice of Breach of Trust

You are breaching My Trust by failing to provide a reply with Your lawful excuse for threatening Me in violation of My rights. 'Gaslighting' is not acceptable and considered

further emotional and psychological abuse recognized by the Court - but I don't need to tell John that because John represents 'the Bench', right?

Breach of Trust by a State Actor (bail supervisor) using their trusted position of office to exploit Beneficiaries rather than guarantee their rights are not violated by their bail supervision program, is subject to fourteen years in jail according to the same Criminal Code You are alleging to charge Me under in violation of due process and My right to a fair and impartial hearing in reasonable time.

You didn't get the Release Order from any legitimate Court because there is no Record of these charges, which tells Me that You could only obtain the information from individuals involved in the fraud. Unless You show Me a public Record of the charges, I Will presume You are colluding against Me in fraud with intent to aggravate the harm done to Me by the loss of My rights by Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti.

Keep this up and maybe I'll get to be Your bail supervisor one day, wouldn't that be fun!?

You have until Friday, 5:00 PM to Show Me where the bail supervision program has the force of law to cause Me intentional harm in violation of My rights. If You fail to provide an apology or legal and lawful excuse, You Will be considered to have tacitly conceded to abusing Your Trusted position of office to exploit the accused in violation of his right to the presumption of innocent, due process, fairness, security of person, unlawful search and seizure, unlawful detainment, right to trial in reasonable time, violation of the contracts You've Signed with Me which states that You are to Act in My best interest and assist Me with remaining in compliance with bail conditions, not set up arbitrary meeting You know Will make it more difficult for Me to make appointments and cause Me unnecessary economic harm, and wasting My very Valuable time.

I am Acting in My Sui Juris capacity which means that I am Entitled to receive equal pay for equal work. My (previous) lawyer was charging \$475/hour, My rate is \$1000.00/hour because I have the Status of King or Sovereign when Acting in My Sui Juris capacity. So You Will be liable to Me for every hour or portion of hour I spend with King with bail supervisors in violation of My rights. That is in addition to the one million each of You are liable to Me for as agents of CROWN, Your charges Will be added to Stephen the Lawless Liar Lichti's liability for Crown.

Remember, remember, this is Your FINAL NOTICE before You Will be NOTED IN DEFAULT, 'NIHIL DICIT' (he says nothing, Maxim in Law) and presumed guilty of collusion in malicious prosecution, identity and registry fraud with malicious intent to cause unnecessary harm to a Beneficiary in violation of his expressed, constitutionally protected rights.

If You Will demonstrate this much belligerence with a Man who knows his rights and the Rule of Law as well as I do, I can only imagine how abusive You are with other accused victims of Canada's judicial system who don't know how to effectively advocate for their rights and advise You that the Courts Will NEVER sanction the Willful trespass of a

constitutionally protected right so that You can cause a Man unnecessary harm. I don't usually need to explain this to People unless they are morally bankrupt and belligerent.

You are hereby served, happy Remembrance Day!

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]