



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Default Judgment and Sentencing: Criminal Breach of Trust with Intent

6 messages

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 9:43 AM

To: John Wyatt <jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca>, cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>

Good morning, fraudsters!

Still 'Nothing to Say'? You two might be one of the most delinquent duos I've dealt with so far, though Vinicious the Odious Oliveira and Yvonne the Gifting Goeble deserve Honourable mention as well.

I Will be as King of the Judge to sentence You for Your crimes on December 3rd and thought it might be worth noting that when considering the sentence regarding a State Actor's breach of public Trust, the Judge **MUST** consider the position of the individuals involved in the fraud and ***the relevance of that position to the fraud being perpetrated*** so as to take into consideration the 'aggravated circumstances' which determine the length of Your sentence. You are the ones threatening Me with additional harm in violation of My rights for complaining about You proceeding against Me in fraud, off the Court of Record, and in violation of My rights to due process and a fair and impartial PUBLIC hearing, right? Further compounding and aggravating the harm already done to Me in violation of My rights. Because You are morally bankrupt, fascist, Nazi degenerates.

I Wish for You to know how Your contemptuous, profane and belligerent behaviour reflects on Canada's justice system as a whole. You are clearly acting with **INTENT** to **CAUSE ME HARM**. If You were not, You would write Me and apologize but You don't even have the professional courtesy to respond to a Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability which is the first due process of Law in any common Law jurisdiction.

This is not a Good look for You or the Courts. You claim to represent 'the Bench' but You can't tell Me why You are violating all of My rights and every principal of justice in a legitimate Court - and You wonder why I believe You are engaged in fraud? Courts don't deliberately cause harm to People, arrogant asses engaged in fraud and malicious prosecution do that.

Your crimes are posted on the International Court of Record at www.vondehnvisuals.com. I hope and Trust You Will report all My advocacy work to the Judge, I'm sure he or she Will be very impressed to see how I am holding corrupt state Actors accountable for their fraud and exploitation of Canada's People, and super impressed to know that You were impersonating a Judge, telling Me You are equal in authority and jurisdiction to a Superior Court Judge. You lying piece of shit!

<https://www.vondehnvisuals.com/2025/11/15/macrocosm-imploding-trump-blows-bubba-john-coward-society-noted-in-default/>

<https://www.vondehnvisuals.com/2025/03/21/the-city-of-ottawa-on-notice-for-racketeering-operation-in-the-superior-court/>

Any One able to put on their big boy pants and return an email, or do You Wish to continue to demonstrate additional moral bankruptcy and contempt?

Have a wonderful morning, morally bankrupt fraudsters. You are demonstrating narcissistic, sociopathic behaviour and You are trusted as role models for criminals? Dangerous.

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean



NOTICE OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT, NIHIL DICT, RES JUDICATA - STEPHEN LICHTI FOR CROWN - REGISTRY FRAUD, MALICIOUS PROSECUTION, CRIMINAL BREACH OF TRUST, ABDICATION OF OATH ET CETERA.pdf
167K

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: dlittle@jhsottawa.ca

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:47 AM

ATTENTION DAVID LITTLE
[Quoted text hidden]



NOTICE OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT, NIHIL DICT, RES JUDICATA - STEPHEN LICHTI FOR CROWN - REGISTRY FRAUD, MALICIOUS PROSECUTION, CRIMINAL BREACH OF TRUST, ABDICATION OF OATH ET CETERA.pdf
167K

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: Wendy McHenry <wmchenry@jhsottawa.ca>

Wed, Dec 17, 2025 at 4:08 PM

[Quoted text hidden]



NOTICE OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT, NIHIL DICT, RES JUDICATA - STEPHEN LICHTI FOR CROWN - REGISTRY FRAUD, MALICIOUS PROSECUTION, CRIMINAL BREACH OF TRUST, ABDICATION OF OATH ET CETERA.pdf
167K

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: Wendy McHenry <wmchenry@jhsottawa.ca>

Thu, Dec 18, 2025 at 9:29 AM

Good morning, Wendy McHenry,

You having any trouble understanding the emails sent to Your bail supervisors? As the manager for the bail supervisor program, is it not 'reasonable and correct' for Me to presume that You Will know and *understand* the terms and conditions of a release order and what constitutes 'varying' a condition of the release order without the consent of the accused, the prosecutor and the judge who issued the release order?

It is not believable that You don't know that neither You or any of Your staff have any 'right' to impose conditions upon an individual that are more harmful and onerous than the conditions imposed on the individual by the release order in violation of his right to not be held to penal conditions without having been convicted of a crime.

I asked You a very simple question on the phone yesterday. Are the conditions You are attempting to impose upon Me more *onerous* than the conditions of the release order signed by the Justice of the Peace? That's a very simple and obvious 'yes or no' question and the answer is 'yes, You are'. You acknowledged yesterday on the phone that it would be unfair to hold a Man to penal conditions when he has been found guilty of no crime, and You acknowledged that the accused has been found guilty of no crime. Yet You are trying to hold Me to harmful conditions in violation of the release order, knowing I have been found guilty of no crime.

So why would You be responsible for causing Me harm in violation of My rights to not be harmed by the judicial process without being found guilty of a crime if You are allegedly 'defending the rights of the accused' as representatives of the John Howard Society?

Presumption of Innocence: The primary element is that the accused person does not have to prove their innocence; the entire burden of proof rests with the prosecution.

Right to Liberty and Bail: The presumption of innocence safeguards the liberty of accused persons before trial. Under Canadian law, for example, the release of an accused person on reasonable bail is the "cardinal rule and detention, the exception". Bail conditions ***are not meant to be punitive*** or to change the person's behavior, but rather to address specific risks (e.g., flight risk, public safety) and ***must be imposed with restraint***.

Is there anything about the language in this email You don't '*understand*' Wendy?

No, a bail supervisor in Canada generally can't unilaterally "vary" release order conditions without court involvement; they can only ***propose changes***, which require the Crown's consent and a judge's signature (***or a formal court hearing if contested***) to become effective, as variations must go through formal processes like a consent variation or a bail review under the Criminal Code (ss. 519.1, 742.4).

If You Wish for Me to report BY PHONE as stated in the release order, I Will be happy to comply. If You Wish to VARY the terms and conditions of the release order, You are required to bring a motion.

Now, is there any reason that You (or any One else) would Wish to impose conditions upon an individual that are more

onerous for the individual to Honour and more harmful than those conditions imposed upon the individual by the release
Order OTHER than malicious intent to cause harm? How does requiring the individual to meet conditions that are harmful
to him and more difficult to meet 'helpful' to the accused? How are You 'helping' to protect the rights of the accused to his
presumption of innocence, and what reason do I have to believe that Conan, John, and David are not acting with malicious
intent to cause harm (a criminal offense).

I look forward to hearing from You, Wendy. Are You and Kelly the Criminal Kritsch close, too?

I was as King of Google AI if the JHS has an obligation to ensure You do not arbitrarily violate My rights. Here's what it had to say:

Yes, the John Howard Society (JHS) has an obligation to respect your rights, as it is bound by **Canadian human rights legislation** and its own **core values and principles**, which emphasize dignity, respect, and fairness.

The John Howard Society is an independent, non-profit, charitable organization, not a government entity. Therefore, the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, which primarily applies to government actions, does not directly regulate the JHS in the same way it does state actors. However, the JHS is still legally required to comply with all federal and provincial laws, including human rights acts and codes that apply to all organizations and employers in Canada

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: Wendy McHenry <wmchenry@jhsottawa.ca>

Thu, Dec 18, 2025 at 9:39 AM

Dear Wendy,

This is not brain surgery, this is basic, common sense. The bail release ORDER says the accused is to report BY PHONE as directed - You do not get to 'VARY' the reporting conditions, only the frequency of the communications. You are to 'help' the individual meet their bail conditions, so *varying the order* to impose conditions more onerous and harmful than those ordered by the Court shows malicious intent, right? Do You take pleasure in causing People harm and violating their right to the presumption of innocence and to not be harmed by the judicial process?

How are You helping to protect My rights as representative of the JHS, or is that promise 'false advertising' to receive government funding when You actually weaponize

the bail supervision program against the accused and attempt to violate as many rights of the accused as You can get away with?

You Will explain the motives and conduct of Your staff which appear to be in violation of the Rule of Law and the Release Order that was Signed by the accused.

I expect to hear from You before the end of today or You Will be presumed complicit and Acting with intent to aggravate the harm already done to Me by the criminal negligence of Your officers (You Will be Noted in Default and Our conversation from yesterday Will be uploaded to Spotify later today).

Have a nice day,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com> Fri, Dec 19, 2025 at 9:05 AM
To: Wendy McHenry <wmchenry@jhsottawa.ca>, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>, "Ottawa Criminal (MAG)" <ottawa.criminal@ontario.ca>, "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, stephen.lichti@ontario.ca, Conan MacIntyre <cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca>, David Little <dlittle@jhsottawa.ca>, John Wyatt <jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca>

Good morning, Wendy,

This is the same criminal negligence and gaslighting I experienced from the rest of Your staff who appear to be able to double down on their unlawful threats in violation of the rights of the accused without providing any lawful excuse for their actions or reasonable motive for their intent to cause undue harm and duress to the accused in violation of his rights.

Did they learn to master this ghosting technique by Way of Your teachings? Where did these criminals get the idea that criminal conduct is acceptable for agents of the John Howard Society?

If I do not hear from You before the end of today, You Will be presumed to be engaged in criminal conduct with malicious intent (mens rae) and Will also accept full civil and criminal liability for the John Howard Society of sociopathic narcissists.

I look forward to hearing from You, are You able to demonstrate any professionalism?

Children evade accountability, grown ups respond to address the issues at Hand - which one are You?

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]