



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Communication

2 messages

Wendy McHenry <wmchenry@jhsottawa.ca>

Tue, Dec 23, 2025 at 8:23 AM

To: "gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Cc: Conan MacIntyre <cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca>, David Little <dlittle@jhsottawa.ca>

Hello Sean

I confirmed with your bail supervisor Conan McIntyre and your next reporting date is MONDAY JAN 5/25 AT 1PM in person at 401 Bell St South . If you are seeking a variance or have any question regarding your charges please contact the courts.

Please be advised that we expect all communication with our staff to be respectful and professional.

Regards Wendy

Wendy McHenry

Director, Community Supports and Services

John Howard Society of Ottawa

401 Bell St South

C: 613.277-3949

f: 613.789.7431

pronouns: she/her

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Dec 23, 2025 at 9:32 AM

To: Wendy McHenry <wmchenry@jhsottawa.ca>, Virtual Crown Ottawa <virtualcrownottawa@ontario.ca>, "Ottawa Criminal (MAG)" <ottawa.criminal@ontario.ca>, "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, stephen.lichti@ontario.ca, John Wyatt <jwyatt@jhsottawa.ca>

Cc: Conan MacIntyre <cmacintyre@jhsottawa.ca>, David Little <dlittle@jhsottawa.ca>

Dear Wendy the Malicious McHenry,

There is nothing 'appropriate' about You and Your cronies taking it upon Your Self to make a VARIATION to the bail conditions imposed upon an individual by the Court. The Release Order SPECIFICALLY STATES that the accused will REPORT by PHONE (all capital letters for the visually or intellectually impaired) NOT in person!!!

THAT is specifically the condition You are UNLAWFULLY VIOLATING which is a CRIMINAL OFFENSE. It is NOT believable that as the bail supervisor 'service director' that You are too incompetent to know what a 'variance' in a bail release order is.

ANY 'variance' to the conditions imposed upon the individual by the Court MUST (not maybe, MUST) be approved by the Justice who issued the order, the prosecutor and the CONSENT of the accused.

I DO NOT CONSENT to allowing You to ABUSE ME in VIOLATION OF MY RIGHT TO NOT BE UNDULY HARMED BY THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM.

Furthermore, the Attorney General has no Record of any charges against Sean von Dehn born June 5th, 1973, nor do they have any record of any criminal Matter '24-122310', so I am VERY curious to know how You came to be in possession of a Release Order not Issued by the Court in any legitimate PUBLIC hearing.

1. Where do these charges exist on the public Registry, Wendy?
2. What have You done to apprehend the criminal prosecutor engaged in identity and registry fraud?
3. Where do You gain the right to 'vary' the conditions of the Release Order Issued by the Court?

These are violations of Canada's Criminal Code:

To legally change conditions, you need ***consent from the accused, Crown, and sureties***, or ***apply to a justice for a formal hearing to replace the undertaking with a Release Order under Section 515, with the Crown showing why stricter conditions (or detention) are needed if they don't consent.***

Consequence for varying conditions without approval from the Court or consent of accused:

If a bail supervisor imposes harsher conditions without a judge's approval, it's likely invalid, as only courts or the Crown (with consent) can ***legally vary release orders in Canada***; the accused shouldn't comply, should report it to their lawyer, and a new court hearing would likely occur to clarify the valid conditions, potentially leading to a review or even detention if the supervisor's actions are challenged or misused.

Did You fail basic reading and or comprehension, Wendy. Do You understand what those Words mean? Do You know what it means to NOT cause more harm to the

accused than what is ordered by the Court? Do You understand that causing more harm WITH INTENT makes Your Act criminal (Mens Rae)?

You are going to provide Me with an answer to My three questions or You Will also be charged with three additional counts of aggravated harassment for Your continuous gaslighting. Gaslighting is when I make a legal and lawful argument that applies in Canadian Law, and You 'ignore' the Law to impose Your own malicious, onerous conditions upon the accused in violation of his rights.

I advised the Judge I Will not be able to make 'in person' reporting, so the Honourable Justice, Herb Kreling requested for Me to report by phone - those were the conditions I agreed to keep and do not consent to any variation whatsoever.

You are on Notice for three additional counts of aggravated harassment because You are clearly trying to antagonize Me and cause Me harm in violation of My rights, when the organization You allegedly represent is \ to be advocating for My rights and trying to protect Me from unnecessary, onerous conditions unless absolutely necessary.

You can't even Give Me one good reason why it is necessary to report in person except to inconvenience and aggravate the accused in violation of his rights. You are acting with belligerence and contempt and it Will not be tolerated. Commenting on Your childish behaviour is not 'inappropriate language' when it is True. Grow up and show Me You can answer simple questions - You've had over five days since I reported the unlawful Acts of Your agents and You are now endorsing their criminal conduct as the service director? You should be fired if You believe You have the right to impose more onerous conditions on the accused by taking it upon Your Self to 'vary' the conditions of his release as ORDERED by the Court.

You are either an incompetent idiot whom I need to report to Your supervisor, or You are making an egregious error and Will apologize to Me. You have until the end of the day.

For the Record, I Will not be appearing IN PERSON for any meetings but Will be happy to report by PHONE whenever You Wish as ordered by the Court.

You have also been advised to NOT DARE to respond to Me unless You are also sending Your emails to the Court responsible as I am doing. Let the Court see how belligerent and contemptuous You are or I don't consider this a lawful reply.

Only criminals gaslight legal and lawful arguments.

Do not complain of profanities because there is nothing more profane to a Court than a criminal gaslighting their legal obligations to breach the public Trust with malicious intent as well as their own mission statement.

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]