



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Re: Response to Request for Information

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 17, 2026 at 4:34 PM

To: "Privacy Dept." <privacy@hydroottawa.com>

Dear Anonymous Privacy Person,

Okay, so I have *very briefly* reviewed the call log and the email correspondences between Ottawa Hydro and Ontario Works and I Will tell You as objectively as I am able, this is not looking Good for You.

There better be a *very Good reason* why the names and email addresses of the individuals discussing My Hydro Ottawa account have been redacted. That is obstruction of justice with intent (criminal, 'Mens Rea') to conceal the identity of individuals violating My privacy and breaching My Trust.

Not only do the communications show that You are Acting in violation of My Trust and ***without having any consent on file to do so***, You are doing so with *intent* to obtain consent You do not have in order to cover over Your breach of Trust and the Beneficiary's privacy.

Hydro, **January 20th, 2026**, "Following up on my previous message, we are *hoping to obtain* a copy of the actual document signed by the client authorizing Ontario Works to speak with Hydro Ottawa on their behalf. Is it possible to provide this documentation?"

You were further advised that if a client has revoked their consent, they would not be able to share that information with You:

OW, **January 20th, 2026**:

"Do you mean a *specific client*? We have a general consent on file for our clients and I am able to forward it at your request. However, *client's do have the right to withdraw consent to a specific third party* and in these cases, *I could not*. Does this answer Your question?"

You clearly have it on Record that I am NOT Giving consent to discuss the account with any third parties, *especially* for the purpose of refusing to pay out the credit balance on the account. Consent was NEVER provided to Hydro Ottawa, consent was Given to Ontario Works and *exclusively for the purpose of pay direct and to verify housing expenses for the purpose of verifying subsidy entitlements*. If the consent was used for any other purpose contrary to its intent, that's a *criminal breach of Trust subject to upto 5 years in jail!!!*

Hydro, January 21, 2026:

"Ah ok. So *he withdrew his consent* but he had signed a form.

I think ***that is what our legal department is looking for***. The initial consent form he had signed with OW *for OW to speak to us about his account*. He claims ***we had no right to speak to OW about his account***.

He has ***an interesting website***. I think this is ***his career***."

(Tell Kathleen I say thank You for the compliment; she's correct, this is 'My career'.)

But You just can't take no for an answer or respect the Beneficiary's Wishes, can You?

Hydro Ottawa, January 26, 2026:

"I hope you are doing well. I am following up on Kathleen's request regarding Mr. Von Dehn's account.

It is my understanding that Ontario Works will not be discussing this account moving forward. However, ***are you able to provide a copy of the original consent form Mr. Von Dehn signed authorizing Ontario Works to access his Hydro Ottawa account and set up pay direct?***"

You are absolutely *shameless*! The FINAL reply in the email thread You just provided to Me reads as follows and officially shuts down Your inquiry.

Ontario Works, January 27, 2026:

Unfortunately, our organization *cannot sharing information at this time even regarding past periods of service* for this client as ***they have revoked consent***.

I have cc'ed my Program Manager should you have any follow up questions regarding this request.

You have just provided Me with all the evidence necessary to prove that You not only ***violated My Trust and privacy rights***, but You did so with malicious intent, ***then You LIED about it***, trying to absolve Your Self of liability by blaming Ontario Works for stopping the payment!?

That was a bold faced LIE!!! They confirmed they don't even have My consent to discuss the account with You!

Your telephone records also show in the Notes that You were Given Notice of liability on at least three occasions before I was compelled to write the privacy office (this email) to compel performance and provide the information I was as King for *months ago*.

You've shown Me that everything You have done was done without any consent on file to discuss the account, and with Ontario Works verifying that they don't have consent to discuss the file either! The ONLY reason consent was provided in the first place was for the purpose of paying the account directly. Any other use of that consent would be unlawful because it is contrary to its original intent and purpose - breach of contract, breach of Trust. (Even if Your legal department had been successful in obtaining the consent You don't have, it would be irrelevant because My revocation of consent has been on Record for much longer and the consent was Given to OW, not to Ottawa Hydro. You seem to misunderstand the purpose and intent of the consent You are see King.

Should I presume that the belligerent reply I received from Melanie Lefebvre is the 'official' reply to My complaint and the final result of the 'escalation' to management for the criminal negligence of Your agents, breach of trust and continuous lies You've been telling Me?

Or Will a more appropriate response and apology for Your egregious criminal negligence be forthcoming?

I can be a very reasonable Man but when agents continually lie to Me about the status of a request, refuse to provide their name when advised it's for the purpose of liability and or the first and last name of a manager, I have no choice but to believe You are engaged in 'bad faith' conduct because honourable individuals acting in Good faith do not refuse to provide their name on request. It is passive aggressive, abusive behaviour. You violate My trust and privacy and REFUSE to provide the names of any One responsible and Wish for Me to believe that's okay? That's narcissism and abuse.

Failing to provide One's proper legal name when requested *specifically* for the purpose of litigation is obstruction of justice. Now You are 'redacting' the names of the guilty parties attempting to obtain the disclosure they already told Me they have, the same consent that is responsible for the check taking over seven months to be issued?

You advised the client that a cheque was sent on July 18th, 2025, then again advised him it has been sent on July 22nd and that he should receive it any time. Your own records show the credit was never issued, no check was sent, it was just another lie. If a check had been issued, Your records would show that it was just as it does now. YOU LIED and had Me expecting a check You knew You hadn't sent. That again, is passive aggressive, abusive behaviour and harassment.

You are guilty of breach of Trust, fraud (lying is a form of fraud) and malicious breach of Trust (criminal intent), and now You are also guilty of uttering threats in retaliation for placing You on Notice of liability for Your egregious trespasses against Me, which is also considered 'contempt' and 'bad faith'. If I was rude to any of Your agents, they were criminally negligent which is far more inappropriate and abusive to Me than course language. They didn't have their privacy violated and were not routinely lied to about the status of a check.

If You apologize and let Me know how You would like to make it up to Me for this egregious breach of My Trust, I Will presume that Your email was not sent with malicious, criminal intent to intimidate Me for advising You that I Will be holding You liable for breaching My Trust.

If I don't receive an apology, I Will presume the email was sent with intent to intimidate in retaliation for placing You on Notice. I am also as King for the name of the person Writing Me from the privacy office because I believe that redacting the documents I am as King for would not have been done if You were not well aware it proves criminal intent of Your agents. Concealing their names is to aid and abet their crimes rather than hold them accountable and obstruction of justice.

These emails Will be published later today, You are one of the top Acts on the world stage so far this year, congratulate-Sean's!!!

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]