



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

---

## NOTICE OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT, NIHIL DICIT, RES JUDICATE: BREACH OF TRUST, BREACH OF PRIVACY, FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

1 message

---

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 18, 2026 at 2:05 PM

To: michelledesroches@hydroottawa.com, "Lefebvre, Melanie" <melianielefebvre@hydroottawa.com>, General Counsel <generalcounsel@hydroottawa.com>, "Privacy Dept." <privacy@hydroottawa.com>, theresasippert@hydroottawa.com, elviserharuyi@hydroottawa.com, cindymckenna@hydroottawa.com, jojomaalouf@hydroottawa.com, "sheilamcintee@hydroottawa.com" <sheilamcintee@hydroottawa.com>

Good afternoon, Michelle DesRoches, Melanie Lefebvre, Jojo Maalouf, Cindy McKenna, Elvis Erharuyi, Theresa Sipper, Anonymous Privacy Person, and General Counsel,

This email is to advise each of You that Your 'general counsel' is failing You miserably. Each of You have access to the disclosure documents provided to Me by Your anonymous privacy person yesterday on Google Drive. I've downloaded copies of the disclosure for My Records.

I *still* have not had time to review the disclosure package provided in its entirety, though I hope to get through some or most of the audio files today. That means *I have reviewed* the call logs and the redacted email threads. Because the anonymous person from the privacy office email is included in the list of individuals the disclosure is being shared with, it is natural for Me to presume that *all of You* had an opportunity to review the disclosure package the anonymous privacy person was preparing and provided 'general counsel' on what information should be provided and what inform a Sean should be redacted before it was sent to Me.

It is not reasonable or correct for Me to presume that the anonymous person from Your privacy office was working alone and did not have the disclosure they were planning to share with Me reviewed by Your legal team *first* (which I presume to be 'General Counsel'). It is not reasonable or correct for Me to presume that an anonymous privacy person arbitrarily decided to redact the names of all the agents working for Your corporation engaged in criminal breach of Trust. It is NOT criminal malfeasance or negligence because Your agents clearly indicate in their call logs that they do not have My consent to discuss the account with Ontario Works, claim to have a consent form on file, and *after You have been placed on Notice for violating the privacy rights of the Beneficiary for communicating with third parties without My express Writ of consent, rather than apologize for the egregious breach of Trust, You instead attempt to obtain a consent form from Ontario Works* to cover over Your agents crimes?! You did not even attempt to obtain consent to discuss the account with third parties such as Ontario Works until AFTER You had been placed on Notice for communicating with third parties without My consent. As You were advised in Your own disclosure of facts by Ontario Works, even if You had consent on file the individual has the right to revoke that

consent anytime. Why would I Trust any One working for Your organization with My personal, private information if they are not Trustworthy enough to provide their last name?

Your agents were advised on several calls that when You are breaching My Trust and privacy with impunity and I advise You of such and request an individual's name for the purpose of liability, they are compelled to Give Me their name OR the name of a manager or supervisor who Will accept liability for their criminal conduct on behalf of the corporation. Corporations don't breach the Trust and privacy of individuals, only incompetent, untrustworthy agents Trusted with personal information can do that, so that is where the liability lies.

Officially, You People are the only names I have that I can Trust to be True from Ottawa Hydro, so You Will all be jointly and severally liable for the continuous, criminal contempt of Your agents in Your personal, private capacity. If You are a lawyer, it Will come out of Your performance bond for defending the criminal acts of Your client.

Right now, You ARE effectively in default in any Common Law Jurisdiction. You were Given Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability on at least four occasions according to Your own call logs alone. The only reply I have received from Your legal department was a letter of threat suggesting My conduct is 'inappropriate and abusive' on the 30th of January? After You've been lying to Me about a consent form on file and the credit status of the account for over seven months?! You said Renee from Ontario Works told You not to send the payment on January 9th **but no such person exists** and if they do, **Your privacy department chose to omit those correspondences which is obstruction of justice and also technically fraud by way of omission.**

You lied. It had NOTHING to do with Ontario Works refusing to release the payment, You were looking for any excuse at all to not send the check and only God knows why! I don't believe there was any contact at all before what You provided to Me in Your disclosure. It was all just lies and stalling tactics so You wouldn't have to issue the credit.

So where am I going with this?

I'm told that the tone of My emails intimidate People. Your only reply to My complaint related to all of this criminal conduct and the continuous lies that were told to Me about the status of a check, was a letter **threatening Me** for 'inappropriate conduct'? That is antagonistic, narcissistic, sociopathic, abusive behaviour - especially in light of what Your agents have done to Me! That was served upon Me **weeks after You had been placed on Notice for agents of Your organization discussing My account with third parties without My knowledge or consent and contrary to My best interests.**

You failed to provide any internal records to show that any of the 'requests' to escalate were actually made. It looks like there are a number of notes on file but nothing was done. No manager ever contacted Me to apologize for the breach, assure My privacy Will be respected and happily Give Me their first and last name to help restore My

Trust. Not one agent was willing to Give their first and last name! That is disgraceful! Working for Your company is so seedy and shady that agents are ashamed for People to know who they are - that was that says to the public, just so You know. It's shady and dishonourable AT BEST! Criminal obstruction when agents have been lying to stall and antagonize an individual with intent.

The fact is, the lot of You attempting to continuously conceal identities tells Me that You know Your actions are both serious, criminal in nature, and so You are all desperately attempting to avoid personal liability. You are insured for privacy breaches if You are Acting in Good faith, but when You redact the names of the criminal agents, You are complicit, obstructing and insurance policies don't generally cover criminal acts, so You Will each be liable in Your personal, private capacity.

See, 'general counsel' Will immediately know that this is an egregious breach of Trust and a disgrace for Your organization. If I were the manager of Ottawa Hydro, this is how My reply to the *first* Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability might have read:

Dear Sir,

Thank You for bringing this Matter to Our attention, I am deeply regretful to hear of Your experience. I apologize for the terrible misunderstanding and breach of Trust. I have spoken with the agent and placed a Note on Your file so that agents know they do not have Your consent to discuss the account with any third parties without Your express Writ of consent.

I also took the liberty of forwarding Your concerns to Our legal department and You are correct - when Trusted with such sensitive information, agents are required to provide their first and last name upon request, or the first and last name of their immediate manager or supervisor. We have updated Our company policies, are currently retraining Our staff and thank You for the input.

I Wish to apologize for any undue duress this situation may have caused You and promise We Will be working hard to serve You better in the future.

Sincerely, competent manager for Hydro Ottawa

Or something of that nature. I certainly wouldn't be sending an email threatening to cut of My utilities because You believe My Words on a call were *inappropriate*? If that isn't the most narcissistic sociopathic reply to a Notice of Liability for Breach of Trust and Privacy, I don't know what better example there could be in the legal profession.

When You hold a monopoly on an essential resource and threaten individuals with the loss of that service for complaining about Your criminal breach of his Trust, it might also be property called exploitation of an essential resource.

Do You all Wish to be jointly and severally liable, or do You Wish to have a little more time to provide Me with a more appropriate reply? Because if Michelle the Lawless Liar Lefebvre's letter is Your official reply, You have not made any meaningful reply to My complaint about Your criminal breach of Trust and privacy, which is tacitly conceding You are guilty as charged (and the letter also serves as proof of service of the Notice of Liability and I can request to have You noted in default for Your belligerence and contempt for due process).

This email Will be published later today on [www.vondehnvisuals.com](http://www.vondehnvisuals.com). I keep meticulous records of all Notices served upon You and publish them to the International Public Record so that State Actors can read the Script God intended for them to Play in Universal Pictures Greatest Present a Sean.

Do You all Wish to be jointly and severally liable for criminal breach of trust, privacy, fraud and obstruction of justice? Redacting the names of criminals working for Your organization is to aid and abet their crimes when You should be holding those People accountable. You Will be accountable in their stead. Cool?

If I don't hear from You before five o'clock today, I Will presume You jointly and severally accept full civil and criminal liability for all the agents of Your corporation covering over the contempt and criminal conduct of Your agents.

Failure to respond to this Notice Will be perceived as tacitly conceding that You are criminally negligent for all charges against You and each of You should be Noted in Default without protest in Your personal, private capacity.

Look forward to hearing from You, enjoy the afternoon!

Blessings,

King Sean, House von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean