



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

---

## URGENT & CRITICAL - SBT File 2512-08602 - Motion for Recusal of Chair Jamie Min Based on Pervasive Conflict of Interest & Demonstrated Institutional Corruption

1 message

---

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Wed, May 13, 2026 at 11:13 AM

To: "@MAG-G-COR-SBT-Registrar (MAG)" <sbt.registrar@ontario.ca>, Jamie Min <jmin@naimarklaw.com>, "Mukalay, Lili" <Lili.Mukalay@ottawa.ca>, "Kritsch, Kelly" <kelly.kritsch@ottawa.ca>

Dear Social Benefits Tribunal,

I am writing to inform you of a matter so grave that it calls into question the fundamental integrity of this entire Tribunal and its ability to provide a fair and unbiased hearing for any appellant in Ontario.

It has come to my attention that one of the newly appointed Chairs of the Social Benefits Tribunal is **Jamie Min**, a partner at the law firm of **Naimark, Sprague, and Lillis**. This firm is the subject of ongoing estate fraud related to My father's Estate (Joachim Heinrich von Dehn), where they proceeded against a dead, unrepresented individual, moving against him in default in violation of every due process of Law.

The appointment of a partner from a firm actively accused of such profound criminal conduct using the provincial Courts as a cloak for fraud to the position of *Chair* is not merely a conflict of interest. It is a declaration of institutional bias from the highest level. It sends a clear and chilling message to all who come before this tribunal: the system is designed to protect its own, even when its own are criminals engaged in fraud.

**My hearing is no longer just about my case.** It is now a test of this Tribunal's legitimacy.

While I do not yet know the specific adjudicator assigned to my case, it is irrelevant. The adjudicator will be an agent of a Board which appoints criminals like Min. How can any reasonable person believe that an adjudicator, whose career is overseen by the Chair of a firm found to be using the Courts as a cloak for their insurance fraud and scams?

To proceed with my hearing under this cloud of corruption would be to legitimize a sham. It would be to participate in a pretense of justice while the Judge of all judges—the Chair—is fundamentally compromised by the very matters under review.

I do not need to request a recusal of an adjudicator. I am putting the Tribunal on formal notice that **its leadership is tainted**, and therefore, its proceedings are inherently prejudiced.

I demand that the Board acknowledge this profound conflict and confirm that my case will be heard by an adjudicator who can demonstrate their independence from the influence of Chair Jamie Min and the unethical practices of her law firm. I refuse to be a pawn in a system designed to enrich the criminals it is supposed to regulate.

Ask Jamie Min about the Estate File of Joachim Heinrich von Dehn - I have a copy of her filings if necessary.

The integrity of the Social Benefits Tribunal itself is now on trial.

Sincerely,

King Sean, House von Dehn  
Hand of Stephen  
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean