



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

The Kingdom of Heaven Verses Tanja Johnson et al - Reply Factum in Opposition of Rule 20 Motion - Responding Party and Trustee (Returnable SEP 7)- 23-AUG-24

14 messages

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 2:13 PM

Dear Madam or Sir,

Please find attached the Reply Factum and Affidavit of Service for the Responding Party and Trustee Claimant for the Rule 20 Motion, September 7, 2023.

Please confirm receipt,

Have a wonderful day,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

2 attachments

 **The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean Verses Tanja Johnson et al - Reply Factum - Responding Party and Trustee Claimant - (Returnable September 7) 23-AUG-24.pdf**
69K

 **The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean and Tanja Johnson et al - CV-22-89835 - Plaintiff and Moving Party - Affidavit of Service - REPLY FACTUM (Returnable SEP 7) - 24-AUG-23.pdf**
79K

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 2:25 PM

Dear Madam or Sir,

I'm sorry, I forgot the proof of email attachment. Is it okay here, or do You require them all sent together?

[Quoted text hidden]

 **Gmail - The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean Verses Tanja Johnson et al - Reply Factum - Responding Party and Trustee Claimant - (Returnable September 7) 23-AUG-24.pdf**
69K

Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>
To: "King Sean, House von Dehn" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 2:45 PM

Good afternoon,

Please provide a commissioned Affidavit of Service with all documents you wish to have filed in one email.

Thank you,

Violet Nicholson

Court and Client Service Representative / Représentante des tribunaux et du service à la clientèle

Superior Court of Justice / Cour supérieure de justice de l'Ontario

Civil Court Division / Cour de le civil

161 Elgin Street/161 rue Elgin

Ottawa, ON K2P 2K1

From: King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Sent: August 24, 2023 2:25 PM

To: Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Subject: Re: The Kingdom of Heaven Verses Tanja Johnson et al - Reply Factum in Opposition of Rule 20 Motion - Responding Party and Trustee (Returnable SEP 7)- 23-AUG-24

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 3:13 PM

That won't be possible, I Will swear them before the judge.

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 3:18 PM

"the powers, rights and immunities of the Trust instrument are in addition to those afforded by the Act, and subject to the terms and conditions thereof."

My Affidavit is Sworn on a public record, with proof it was delivered. I am accountable to God, and I've watched countless People swear lies into an Affidavit claiming it makes them fact. My Word IS FACT till otherwise disproved.

If You Will not accept My documents, I Will present these emails at the hearing. This is a TRUST claim, not a civil Matter except under duress because civil is the default jurisdiction. You have the obligation to inherit whatever jurisdiction is required to enforce My Trust obligations in accordance with Canadian law.

[Quoted text hidden]

Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 3:45 PM

To: "King Sean, House von Dehn" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
Cc: "Bert, Derrick (MAG)" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>

Unfortunately, we are not able to accept your Affidavit of Service if it is not properly completed. Staff with our office must follow the direction of the Ministry and the Rules of Civil Procedure.

Please feel free to resend the documents once they are properly completed.

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 3:48 PM

You ARE a commissioner of Oath, I have Sworn an Affidavit, You, a commissioner of oaths has received it, it is now Sworn on a Record.

So ridiculous. If I were at the Courthouse right now, You would be compelled to accept because You must be a commissioner of oaths to receive My documents in the first place. As King of Me to do it again is redundant.

Are You now telling Me You are not receiving My Factum?

This has NEVER been required of Me before.

[Quoted text hidden]

Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>
To: "King Sean, House von Dehn" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 3:51 PM

Good afternoon,

If you wish to attend the Civil counter at the Ottawa courthouse we can commission your affidavit of service, but we cannot commissioned via email.

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 4:21 PM

Dear Madam or Sir,

Respectfully - I have submit an AFFIDAVIT of service, swearing the Factum has been served on the responding/moving parties. You KNOW this is True because I also included an email showing the service the Factum. I have ALSO sworn to REAFFIRM or SWEAR AGAIN if necessary at the hearing before the Judge. This was perfectly acceptable just a few months ago because of the whole covid thing. So there is no REASONABLE reason You cannot except, even if You have to put a special note on the file for the Judge, I'm happy to swear again.

Otherwise, I'm going to be as King for a cheque for My time and trouble when I get down there. It's three hours out of My day to come and see You, so how about \$100/hr and I Will agree under duress and without prejudice to come down there and Swear them before You because You can't believe what I'm saying right now because of 'Rules' that are supposed to PROTECT CommonLaw Principles integral to Justice - which is the presumption of innocence, and that I would not lie in an Affidavit. Compelling Me to come down there, is telling Me You don't believe I've done what I say I've done, even though You know I have. That's called 'contra bonus mores'.

So about \$500/hr for My time, and I Will bring a Bill with Me for You to Sign for endorsement while You are at it. We'll do a two for one, does that sound Good?

I'm up against FIVE powerful law firms trying very hard to perpetrate VERY SERIOUS FRAUD on these Courts. My father, JOACHIM HEINRICH VON DEHN has two claims for \$3 million dollars waiting to proceed against him when some One is appointed to represent his Estate as Trustee.

A bank produced a fraudulent Certificate to sell the house under power of sale without appointing an ETDL to avoid having to compete with the \$3. million in insurance claims. They have ALL sworn extensive affidavits before commissioners of oaths to perpetrate their fraud, so a commissioner of oaths means nothing to Me.

They served Me with documents four days late and said they couldn't offer an extension for Me. So I just spent all night cramming to get all this shit together so I could serve and file today.

I am ONE MAN against FIVE POWERFUL LAW FIRMS. I need all the freaking help I can get. Please accept these documents, or please be ready to endorse a bill for My time.

I need to know My Reply Factum Will be accepted on time, I am freaking out.

Thank You

[Quoted text hidden]

Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>
To: "King Sean, House von Dehn" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
Cc: "Bert, Derrick (MAG)" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 4:55 PM

Good afternoon,

As previously mentioned, we cannot accept an Affidavit of Service that has not been commissioned. We unfortunately do not commissioned documents via email.

You may attend the Civil counter at the Ottawa courthouse and we will be happy to commission the Affidavit of Service for you. Once you receive the Factum and Commissioned Affidavit of Service we will be happy to file your materials for you.

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 5:17 PM

Okay, great. And the clerk who commissions the affidavit will also be endorsing the bill for My time? Like I said, \$500/hour for My time - that is a LOT of additional hassle for Me, I'm complying under duress and without prejudice because I need for these documents to be accepted. Am I going to get the same song and dance if I file through the onekey portal?

Just two years ago, I spent over sixty hours preparing motion materials in accordance with the Rules. Two days before I take My documents to the court to file them, You are no longer accepting physical documents because of covid, and affidavits could be sworn at the hearing before the judge. They would not accept physical documents I spent sixty hours preparing, despite the fact they were exposed to exactly the same risk whether they received them or not. Conta bonus mores. When logic defies common sense, it not the common law.

So \$500/hour is what I'm charging, who is Signing when I get there?

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Thu, Aug 24, 2023 at 5:21 PM

It's about three hours out of My day, so We'll call it \$1500 even.

[Quoted text hidden]

Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>
To: "King Sean, House von Dehn" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 25, 2023 at 9:34 AM

Good morning,

As previously mentioned, you will need to have your Affidavit of Service commissioned and then documents will need to be filed electronically.

You may utilize the services of a commissioner of oaths if you do not want to attend the courthouse. Some commissioners provide services via video conference.

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>

Fri, Aug 25, 2023 at 10:40 AM

Good morning,

this Act applies to **all trusts whenever created** and to **all trustees whenever appointed**. R.S.O. 1990, c. T.23, s. 66.

"The **POWERS, RIGHTS, AND IMMUNITIES** OF THE TRUST INSTRUMENT **ARE IN ADDITION** TO THOSE AFFORDED BY THE TRUSTEE ACT, AND **SUBJECT** TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREOF"

"Nothing in this Act authorizes a trustee to do anything that the trustee is in express terms forbidden to do, or to omit to do anything that the trustee is in express terms directed to do by the instrument creating the trust. R.S.O. 1990, c. T.23, s. 68.

I have SWORN the REPLY FACTUM was served upon the moving party, I have provided PROOF OF SERVICE OF THE EMAIL to show this was (in FACT) done, and I have SWORN these facts to be True in an AFFIDAVIT **SWORN BEFORE GOD.**

If You are going to tell Me that despite having all the required documents, and DESPITE THE FACT that I am offering to REAFFIRM OR SWEAR before the Judge at the hearing, KNOWING with absolution Your Self that the *Principles of Justice* the Rules are *designed to protect* have been followed (the only PURPOSE for any of this is to ensure the moving party HAS received a copy of My Reply Factum), and You're going to tell Me that swearing My affidavit in the name of God is NOT GOOD ENOUGH?

This is a TRUST Claim. It is NOT governed by the Rules of Civil Procedure because this is NOT a CIVIL suit, it is a TRUST obligation.

So, You can REFUSE to accept My documents if You Wish, holding all the proof You need to know they were served and filed, and YOU can tell the Judge that You didn't provide the factum because YOU don't believe My Word to God is Good enough and need Me to Swear this document before some inferior clerk.

I swore before God, if that is not Good enough, I Will NOT allow You to trespass upon My Spiritual beliefs and Swear to You as if it means something more than swearing this was done before God and You as My witness.

And if My documents are NOT at the hearing, YOU, Violet Nichols, Will be the first person listed on My application for judicial review, to show how You are IGNORING My Trust instrument and compelling the Trustee to *violate his Trust obligations*. I'm not going to let a court clerk tell Me that swearing before a commissioner is worth more than My Word Sworn before God when You know for a FACT that documents were served and filed on opposing counsel.

I Will swear documents again before the judge if You do not believe My Sworn Oath provided to You in the Affidavit. What is the point of an affidavit and proof of service if You don't believe My Affidavit, and can't see what's before Your very eyes?

Conta bonus mores - against Good morals, MAXIM in Law

Anything contrary to Good moral values, can be LAWFULLY ignored.

Make sure My Reply Factum is at that hearing, or You Will be held accountable, and I Will share this email thread with the Judge to let the Judge know why You wouldn't accept them.

Is that Good enough?

Have You never received a Trust Claim before, is this the first time?

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]